09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 1 of 82

Endorsed Order:

The Sale Order plainly covers Mr. Dunsmore's claims, and he has shown no basis for any exception. The relief requested by Mr. Dunsmore is denied. The stay imposed by the injunctive provisions of the Sale Order will remain in place with respect to Mr. Dunsmore's lawsuit in California state court until further action by this Court. This Endorsed Order is without prejudice to the rights of any party to bring any additional relevant facts to the Court's attention or to any future rulings by this Court.

Dated: New York, New York October 19, 2015

United States Bankruptcy Judge

s/Robert E. Gerber

Day 50026 mg 50026 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document AD 6277 C3A-137 Pg 2 of 82
Po Box 32200 Stockton Ca 95213

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

W R

General Mator ICC

CONTION Switch Litigation

Darry Dimenore

Plaintiff

General Motors Et al

Definitions

Er Parte Motion For Declatory Relief

The New GM Attests and Declares it is not an accessory to any misconduct or crime Cam. Hich by the old GM Detendants Notify and ordered the Defendants to cooperate according to law with all Discovery requests by plaintiff to New Delendants (New GM) Per Penal Code 135 of California

COPTODE DOCESSOUNT FILERETORIS/15 Etterpo 2015/15 00554/64 Main Documents

PG 3 of 82

1/2 (103 S C + 1660 75 1 87 2d 675 5/10d)

V BUV NS (1976) 427 US 347 373 (96 9 ct

2673 49 1 (2 2d 542 The Deprovents on of

The Constitutional Kight will cause

irrepairable harm by Controld Suffering for
a wrong ful Conviction of Some one Actally

Mocent with contined reaccentran

I occlose under puralty of perjury The largony

9/22/15 DDe

09-50026-mg Doc 13504, Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 4 of 82

PO BOX 32000

Stock to a Ca 95213

ONITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
IN RE
GUERAL Motors (IC
16NITION SUITCH Latigution)
Darryl Dimensore
Plannt of
V
Ceneral Motor Et al
Defendants

Notice of Pending Releted Case

Related Case Onlier 6.PC PCI Related Case Corn ein Court of Appeals writ of Habres

alorlo solvelo

09-50(26-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 5 of 82 Pg 5 of 82 Po らっと ファン・ Stockton Ca 95213

ONITED STATES BANKFURTEY COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEWYORK

IN RE

General Motors CIC

Ignition Switch Citigation

Darryl Durenore

Plaintiff

General Motors et al

Defendants

objection To

schiebleing order

this law soit pertains to Plantills wrongful conviction in which plantill Seek boarstitutional Mendated Discovery from Defendants as to which he has not been able to obtain Concerning actual inscerce Claims in The Southern District of California Case 004197 GPC-PCL

19-50026-mg poc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main (Document The Detendent's No Fole of 82 Dursnave Lase out 638 Dursnave Lase out 638 Dursnave Lase out 638 Dursnave Lase out Court Court House State of California n order to Secure Constitutionally Mundated Discovery to support his Clarins of actual movence n the 9th cir n order to obtain a COA and Brief the 1550es

Plaint. If objects to the schedule order
Because he is a Pro se Litigant, incorrected
with Physical Disibilities requireing assistance
to Dress transfer Bath it being extremly
Difficult for plaintiff to timely persue
this litigation Couldn't A

Any argument concurring The late filety of this objection is note according to Prison Mail box and That plaintil did not timely recieve the Denard Notice until 9/18/15 Doe to transfer to a Medical facility in Colifornia Colifornia Healthcare facility in Stockton Plaintilt Shookh thus Not be bound to the terms of the scheduling order

Jessues That should be Presented to The Barkruptcy Court 09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document this plantiff is seeking exculpatory Discovery which is constitutionally Mandated and interference in the persuit of Said Evidence would be a manifest injustice of Keeping an otherwise Actually imocent individule incarcerated for a longer period

That has been directly caused by The Misconduct of General Motors Corp That fraudolartly Sold Millions of Defective parts indangering the lives of Costoners and The General public and when The Company was finally rendered defunded by these fraudulant actions That bled The Company of all financial stab. 1. ty except its Brand name Conspired with the New GM N/4/A Motors Leguidation Company to Rid its self of Massive habilities Through Clever Man. polation of Roles of law Centrary to the rules of Prof Conduct 3-210 The Atlanties knowingly assisted each other and solicited The violation of Colifornia Rules of professional Conduct or State bor Act Bus & RC 116000-6328, Cal Rules. of Prof. Cond 1-120 by concealing suppressing Destroying and removing Evidence of Them fraudulent

09-50026-ing Doc \$3504 Filed 10/19/15 Eptered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Wain Documenteral Police and the plaint. If unknowingly solicited the Court to Assist in These illegal acts through clever Manipolation of the bankruptop laws of liquidation in violation of the Col Penal Code 11 134-135 Price V State bar (1982) 30 C3d 537-139 which the Attorny's continue to ignore the Rule of Law Derying Plaintiff Constitutionally Mandated discovery causeing this plaintiff to Suffer a wrongful Conviction and a lengthy 179 CR 914 stay of nearceration while plaintill attempts to Convence the Court of his actual nno ence and the hunts of his claims youghting around The New york habor and world while plaintills life has been completely destroyed by the Ocheclasts Provider last acts and Clever on ethical Marphilan of the role of law Bus & Pc 6068(d) eal Rules of grot Cord 5-200 (B) Di Sabatino

V State box (1980) 27 C3d 159 162 CR 458

Despite The known plight of plaintiff
Through Correspondence and bitigation The
Defendants Continue to thumb theor rose
at the law and are notificant to the
harm The are Causery plaintiff by

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document will for Concealment Pg 90f 83 truction and alteration of of evidence in the form of Documents of records that support petitioners claims of inocence and the Multination of the Vehncal which was evoncosty Declared a weapon Due to the actions of the Defendant's both new and old GM OWNERS as The Symple sale of The Corpotion Did not Viol the Defendants responsibilities to reveal Evidence of Crimis committed The are willing Co Conspiritors once They decided to destry Alter and Conceal evidence They whented in The sale of GMC Corp n The form of Documents which revealed The Massive France old Gra Comm Hed

The Debudants New GM can not argue they had no knowledge of alleged of elective parts or that Documents may not have been exculpatory evidence as supported in Exhibit B GM test drivers were away of the ignition problems in 2006 Two years prior to the Sale the NHTSA crash report Made Mutian of the ignition problem The Debudants and Attornics Coold have reasonably argued ogainst liabilities if they had Chose to reveal and disclose they had Chose to reveal and Defective

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document to the Cov-ts Carp 100182-s and other files Chapter 11 does not relieve The New GM owners of habilities if they fail in Their ethical and legal dities to disclose acts of fraud and other criminal conduct which they were aware of before the time of sale at the time of sole and after the sale Nor of its responsibility to Release to Plaintil the Exalpatory evidence Odudants have knowledge of 'That' would redease Plaintill of his wrongful Contriction and sopport his actual mocence claims That The Defective helburctioning vehical not Petitions was responsible for the neight hold petitioner incorrected in Coscouleis GPC PCI Southern Distret of Colifornia for these reasons The schoole should be modified for this plaintiff as This Ludges own openion in Exhibit BP141 cite 41 stateing The Dende of relief would be harbestly unconscionable what could be More so Then the continued manceration of an actually mocent industrile ewangly convicted because of The acts of Detendants to conceal excelpatory evidence the would relieve plantiff of the wangful consistion I Declare under pualty of perjory the largone is true aborto DAD-c 6666

209 500 pt-mg Doc 1850 at Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 11 of 82

Po Box 72200
Stockton Ca 95213

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RS (126) Two 26 (126) Beneral Motors (() 16 Mitian switch intigation Daryl Dusmar Plant, Cf General Motor et al Delendants Menaranden of Points and Authorities in Support of objection to scheduling order severely Ossabled and requires clarly assistance
Physical incapicitation is Good Cause for ext and
relief from the schedulering order people is Croved? (1966) 65 CZd 199 53 CR 284

209-50026-mg Doc 13504 | Filed 10/10/15 Entered 10/49/15 09:54-46 Main Document ording to prison Mail box rule Houston V Lack 487
US 266 273-76 108 Sct 2379 (1988)

crability

under California Law once New GM agained The assets and Documents of Miscanduct of old GM They forfiet Their hobility protection by Becomeng Co Conspiritors According Penal Code 135 To Destroy and Conceal Documentary exidence as the New GM Behiclants in this case and were Accessories according to sporal code 32 help the alleged Principal of the Crines old GM as defined in Penal code 31 to avoid trial and Conviction of Followers Camiffed by old GM

New GM Actions were an Accessory is Defined by Renal Code 32 and farketeel habitity protection. The New defindants New GM Continue to Violate Colifornia Law of peral Code 135 by Concealing and refusing Destroying evidence of plaintills innocence in plaintills arimonal wrongful Conviction Case Doi197-6PC-PCI if Defendants are not accessories to the Crimes of old GM. Then They Should immediately release all Exculpatory evidence and fallfull promply all plaintill Discovery requests

Og 50026-mg (Poc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/16 09:54:46 Maintpocument Continch Pg 13 of 822 cm of Their legal representationes who believe they can Day representationes who believe they can Day Menchated Discovery and Claim They are exempt from old GM product habities while brakeing state local and constitutional law to Conceal Exculpatory Evidence or detroy it Thereby revealing thusselfs as accessories to the principles crimes Old GM Thereby Nullifying habitity protection by Bakruptay Mullifying habitity protection by Bakruptay Should be Modified for plantiff.

t Declare under penalty of payory the foregoing is true

alvolo D-1D-c

70f7

09-50026-mg Docd3504-Eiled 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 14 of 82

PO BOY 32200

Stockton Cer 95213

ENTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE

General Motor III

Ignition Switch Intertion

Darryl Dursman

Plant II

V

General Motors et al

Deluclents

Toble of Content

and Pornts end

Authorities in

Support of objection
to schiedling exact.

People V Croved, 1966) 65 crd
199 53 cr 284 - - - - - -

1082

| 09-50026-mg Doc 13504. Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document |
|---|
| 277-76 los set 2379 (1988) 2 |
| Culifornia Peaal Codo |
| PC31,32,195 |
| <u>Contents</u> |
| Objection 6 pages Menorandur 7 pages |
| Lodgements. |
| Exhibit A Medical order for assistance 6 pages Exhibit B General Noo on Gn History 6 pages |
| |

abrilio DAD-e

Dc-09-50\126-m\1000\1850\Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document
Pg 16 of 82
PO Box 32200
Stackton Co. 45213

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RS Case 09-50026 (REG) Gereral Meeting (CC 1 Gustion switch Citization) Darry 1 Durana Maintill General Mostar et al Defendants Lodgementsi 1-1 Support of Objection to rehectileing order Exhibit A "Medical order for assistance - - - 6 pages Exh. b.t B General into an GM History - - - 6 pages

to occurate these lodgement to be true and accurate after 15 DAD -e

Pg 17 of 82 REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PANEL (RAP) RESPONSE RAP Meeting Date: 9/02/2015 Date IAC Received 1824: 8/31/2015 1824 Log Number: CHCF-C-15-02227 Inmate's Name: DUNSMORE, DARRYL CDCR #: AD6237 Housing: FAC C3A-145 13ユ RAP Staff Present: ADA Coordinator J.A. Zamora, Custody Appeals Coordinator A. Infante, Doctor G. Williams, Health Care Appeals Representative, L. Donnelly, Registered Nurse M. Lowe ✓ Yes DPM. CCCMS Inmate Interviewed: □ No Disability Access or Discrimination Issue: SUBJECT STATES THAT HE IS HAVING DIFFICULTY IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS DUE TO HIS MEDICAL CONDITION. Interim Accommodations Needs Reviewed: X Interim Accommodation provided (List accommodation and date provided): ON 8/31/15, MEDICAL STAFF TO ASSIST SUBJECT ON AS NEEDED BASIS FOR TRANSFERRING/DRESSING/OBTAINING ITEMS. Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: THE SUBJECT IS REQUESTING ASSISTANCE IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS, ASSISTANCE WITH PLACING SOCKS ON AND A THREE TIER SHELF. RAP is able to render a final decision. APPROVE WITH MODIFICATION ON 9/02/15, THE REASONABLE ACCOMODATION PANEL (RAP) HAS REVIEWED YOUR REQUEST. YOU HAVE BEEN APPROVED FOR A WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE LOCKER. ON 8/31/15, THE CHCF APPEALS COORDINATOR INTERVIEWED YOU, IN WHICH YOU REQUESTED ASISSTANCE WITH PULLOVER SHIRTS, REACHING DOWN TO PLACE SOCKS AND SHOES ON AND GETTING ITEMS FROM THE FLOOR/SHELF. YOU FURTHER STATED WHEN YOU WARM UP, YOU GET BETTER MOVEMENT BUT IN THE MORNING AND AT NIGHT IT BECOMES MORE DIFICULT TO MOVE. YOU STATED THAT THE OFFICERS DO ASSIST YOU AND THAT YOU ARE ABLE TO ACCESS PROGRAMS AND SERVICES WITHOUT CONCERN. ON 8/31/15, THE CHCF APPEALS COORDINATOR INTERVIEWED CNA MOFOR. MOFOR STATED SHE ASSISTS YOU ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS. SHE HAS OBSERVED YOU MOVE IN OTHER ACTIVITIES SUCH AS FEEDING YOURSELF. SHE FURTHER STATED THAT SHE WILL INFORM OTHER STAFF TO ASSIST YOU IN THE INTERIM ON AN AS NEEDED BASIS. Additional information/instruction: THE SUBJECT IS ABLE TO SAFELY ACCESS ALL PROGRAMS, SERVICES AND ACTIVITIES. If you disagree with a health care decision made prior to or during the CDCR 1824 process, complete a CDCR 602-HC. If you disagree with any other RAP decision, complete a CDCR 602. Be sure to attach this document along with your CDCR 1824. J.A. Zamora Date sent to inmate: 9/2/2015 **ADA Coordinator** Signature Staff processing instructions: Does delivery of response meet criteria to establish effective communication? No X Accommodation Order required: Request alleges non-compliance of the Armstrong or Clark Remedial Plans. Allegation logged on Accountability Log. Distribution: Original - Inmate Copy - 1824 File Copy - Miscellaneous Section of C-File Copy - Medical/Mental Health Staff

Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46

Main Document

09-50026-mg

Doc 13504

File 10/19/15

| 09-50026-mg Doc 13504 File | ed 10/19/15 E | Entered 10/1 | .9/15 0 | 9:54:46 | Main Document | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------|---|--|--|
| State of Colifornia | Pg 18 c | of 82 | | D | O | | |
| State of California REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION | INSTITUTION (staff use only): FC? | | | | Corrections and Rehabilitation ER (staff use only): | | |
| REQUEST | | | | | C-15-62227 | | |
| CDCR 1824 (rev: ?/2014) | | | Y/N | | | | |
| *** TALK TO STAFF IF YOU HA | AVE AN EMERGEN | CY * * * | | Date Receiv | Date Received by Staff (staff use only): | | |
| Do not use a CDCR 1824 to request health care | 1 | | Thic | | | | |
| may delay your access to health care. Instead, | | | | | | | |
| INMATE'S NAME (Print) | CDCR NUMBER ASSIGNMENT HOUSING | | | HOUSING | | | |
| DUNSMORE, D. | A06237 | | | .> | C3 A-115 | | |
| INSTRUCTIONS | | | آماز آئر مانعوان م | | | | |
| You may use this form if you have a physical | • | J. 1987 C. 198 | 7 | | ▼ | | |
| You may use this form to request a specific participate in a program, service, or activity. | | | | | | | |
| Submit this form to the Custody Appeals Office | - | | | | • | | |
| The CDCR 1824 is a request process, not at 1824 to request a response for a group of | | | | | | | |
| appeal (CDCR 602, or 602-HC if disagreeing | | | | | , | | |
| WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / WHAT IS THE PRO | BLEM: | | | - | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT: | | J. | | | | | |
| | Section of Section 1 | 1 KV | | | | | |
| - The second sec | , , | Military | | | | | |
| | 56 | | | | | | |
| WHAT DO YOU NEED: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | / | | | | | | |
| | · | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | • • | | form if you need more space) | | |
| Which of the following best describes your | | sed you to file | this re | quest: | | | |
| ☐ Difficulty walking or getting around ☐ Difficul | - | culty hearing | | culty talking | ☐ On kidney dialysis | | |
| ☐ Difficulty using arms/hands ☐ Difficulty le | earning Diffi | iculty thinking or | understa | inding [| ☐ Mental impairment | | |
| Other Disability (briefly describe): | | | | | | | |
| DO YOU HAVE ANY DOCUMENTS THAT D | ESCRIBE YOUR D | ISABILITY? | | Yes □ | No □ Not Sure □ | | |
| (List and attach documents if available, including: | 1845, 7410, 128-C): | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| I understand staff have a right to interview or e | xamine me, and my | failure to coop | erate m | ay cause thi | s request to be disapproved. | | |
| | C | • | | | | | |
| | | | _ | | | | |
| INMATE'S SIGNATURE | | | | DA | ATE SIGNED | | |
| Assistance completing this form provided by: | l oot Need | | Meri | | Olan altrino | | |
| | Last Name | Fi | rst Name | • | Signature | | |

Person making determination

Title

☐ IAP is not required as the CDCR 1824 contains no disability access or discrimination issues.

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 19 of 82

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

HOURS/WATCH

HOUSING

REASONABLE MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUEST

programs of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination.

CDCR 1824 (Rev. 10/06)

INMATE/PAROLEE'S NAME(PRINT)

qualified/eligible to participate.

| INSTITUTION/PAROLE REGION: | LOG NUMBER: | CATEGORY: |
|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| CHCF | CHCF-C- 15-02227 | 18. ADA |

ASSIGNMENT

NOTE: THIS FORM IS TO BE USED ONLY BY INMATES/PAROLEES WITH DISABILITIES

In processing this request, it will be verified that the inmate/parolee has a disability which is covered under the Americans With Disabilities Act.

In accordance with the provisions of the Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA), no qualified individuals the administration in, or be denied the benefits of the services activities, or

You may use this form to request specific reasonable modification or accommodation which, if aranted would enable you to participate in a service, activity or program offered by the Department/institution/facility, for which you are otherwise

CDC NUMBER

AD6237

| Submit this completed form to the institution or facility's Appeals Coordinator's Office. A decision will be rendered within 15 working days of receipt at the Appeals Coordinator's Office and the completed form will be returned by you. If you do not agree with the decision on this form, you may pursue further review. The decision rendered on this form constitutes a decision at the FIRST LEVEL of review. To proceed to SECOND LEVEL, attach this form to an Inmate/Parolee Appeal Form (CDC 602) and complete section "F" of the appeal form. |
|--|
| Submit the appeal with attachment to the Appeals Coordinator's Office within 15 days of your receipt of the decision rendered on this request form. |
| If you are not satisfied with the SECOND LEVEL review decision, you may request THIRD LEVEL review as instructed on the CDC 602. |
| MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUESTED |
| DESCRIPTION OF DISABILITY: Ankylosing Spondy 1, to Dsyphia |
| WHAT VERIFICATION DO YOU HAVE OF YOUR DISABILITY? Medical Records, Outcome |
| Carq |
| DESCRIBE THE PROBLEM: I have a Kay Decision denying My Previous Requests for Alterations State in That I will be provided Assistance when Requested Staff are refuseing to Assist with dressing In make to fully dress shorts sock due to loss of his ity in structures Dark hips knews I am unable to use Locker Property all over floor 8th get out bad WHAT SPECIFIC MODIFICATION OF ACCOMMODATION IS REQUESTED? Need Afternote Locker and assistance provided To occassionally transfer and Dress and undress as Reap frayously Decided or Alteration of Clothes with bottom and strays for socks the |
| INMATE/PAROLEE'S SIGNATURE S 70/15 DATE SIGNED |

Pg 20 of 82 REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PANEL (RAP) RESPONSE RAP Meeting Date: 9/09/2015 Date IAC Received 1824: 9/4/2015 1824 Log Number: CHCF-C-15-02280 Inmate's Name: DUNSMORE, DARRYL CDCR #: AD6237 Housing: FAC C3A-115 13 2 **RAP Staff Present: ADA Coordinator** J.A. Zamora, Custody Appeals Coordinator A. Infante. Doctor G. Williams. Health Care Appeals Representative, , K. Martin, D. Nelson, Registered Nurse M. Lowe Inmate Interviewed: □ No ✓ Yes DPM, CCCMS Disability Access or Discrimination Issue: SUBJECT STATES THAT HE IS HAVING DIFFICULTY IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS DUE TO HIS MEDICAL CONDITION. Interim Accommodations Needs Reviewed: X Interim Accommodation provided (List accommodation and date provided): ON 8/31/15. MEDICAL STAFF TO ASSIST SUBJECT ON AS NEEDED BASIS FOR TRANSFERRING/DRESSING/OBTAINING ITEMS. Summary of Inmate's 1824 Request: THE SUBJECT IS REQUESTING ASSISTANCE IN TRANSFERRING, DRESSING OR ALTERATION OF CLOTHES WITH BUTTONS, ASSISTANCE WITH PLACING SOCKS ON AND A THREE TIER SHELF. RAP is able to render a final decision. DISAPPROVED ON 9/09/15, THE REASONABLE ACCOMODATION PANEL (RAP) HAS REVIEWED YOUR REQUEST. DUPLICATE ISSUE REFER TO CHCF #CHCF-C-15-02227 Additional information/instruction: THE SUBJECT IS ABLE TO SAFELY ACCESS ALL PROGRAMS, SERVICES AND **ACTIVITIES.** If you disagree with a health care decision made prior to or during the CDCR 1824 process, complete a CDCR 602-HC. If you disagree with any other RAP decision, complete a CDCR 602. Be sure to attach this document along with your CDCR 1824. J.A. Zamora Date sent to inmate: 9/9/2015 Signature **ADA Coordinator** Staff processing instructions: Does delivery of response meet criteria to establish effective communication? No **Accommodation Order required:** Request alleges non-compliance of the Armstrong or Clark Remedial Plans. Allegation logged on Accountability Log.

Copy - Miscellaneous Section of C-File

Copy - Medical/Mental Health Staff

Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15-09:5

Distribution: Original - Inmate

Copy - 1824 File

09-50026-ma

Doc 13504

| 09-50026-mg Doc | 13504 File | ed 10/19/15 E | | 19/15 (| 09:54:46 | Main Document |
|--|--|--|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| State of California | | Pg 21 (| of 82 | | Department of | Corrections and Rehabilitation |
| REASONABLE ACCOMM | ODATION | INSTITUTION (sta | aff use only): | EC? | | BER (staff use only): |
| REQUEST | · - | | | Y/N | CHCF- | C-15-02280 |
| CDCR 1824 (rev: ?/2014) | | | | 1/18 | | |
| *** TALK TO STA | AFF IF YOU HA | AVE AN EMERGEN | CY, * * * | | Date Hecen | ved by Staff (staff use only): |
| <u>Do not</u> use a CDCR 1824 to required may delay your access to health | uest health care care. Instead, | e or to appeal a heal submit a CDCR 736 | th care decision 2 or a CDCR 60 | n. This 02-HC. | | |
| INMATE'S NAME (Print) | | CDCR NUMBER | ASSIGNMENT | Γ | | HOUSING |
| Dunsmore, D. | | A06237 | | <i>يُن</i> ِينِ | | C3 A-115 |
| INSTRUCTIONS | | | <u> </u> | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| You may use this form if you h | nave a physical | or mental disability of | or if you believe | you hav | e a physical o | or mental disability. |
| You may use this form to rec participate in a program, service | quest a specific | c reasonable accom You may also use th | modation which | h, if app it an alle | roved, will e | nable you to access and/or ability-based discrimination. |
| Submit this form to the Custod | = | | م من المنظمة ا المنظمة المنظمة | | | |
| • The CDCR 1824 is a request | | | | | | |
| 1824 to request a response f appeal (CDCR 602, or 602-HC | | | | | | ou disagree with, submit an |
| | | Silva. | iosis/u call lie lit | decision | ,. | |
| WHAT CAN'T YOU DO / WHAT | IS THE PROP | BLEM: | - V. C. | | | |
| | - 270 | 100 and | À. | | / | |
| | | | | $/\!\!\!/$ | | |
| | ما المعالمة | | <u> </u> | | | |
| WHY CAN'T YOU DO IT: | | and the | | | | |
| | | _ A () | | | | |
| | | 33 | | | | |
| | | | , | | | |
| WHAT DO YOU NEED: | | | | _ | | |
| | | | | | | —————————————————————————————————————— |
| | | | | | | |
| | <u>/</u> | • | | | _ | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | (use the | e back of this | form if you need more space) |
| Which of the following best de | scribes your | disability that cau | sed you to file | | | . , |
| ☐ Difficulty walking or getting aroun | | | culty hearing | | culty talking | ☐ On kidney dialysis |
| ☐ Difficulty using arms/hands | ☐ Difficulty le | earning Diffi | culty thinking or | understa | anding (| ☐ Mental impairment |
| ☐ Other Disability (briefly describe): | : | | | | | |
| DO YOU HAVE ANY DOCUME | NTS THAT DI | ESCRIBE VOLIR D | SARII ITV2 | | Yes □ | No □ Not Sure □ |
| | | • | | | 162 [| NO LI NOL Sule LI |
| (List and attach documents if availa | able, including: 1 | 1845, 7410, 128-C): ₋ | | | | |
| Lunderstand steff house a visibility | Internal control | | | | | |
| I understand staff have a right to | interview or ex | xamine me, and my | failure to coop | erate m | ay cause thi | s request to be disapproved |
| | | | | | | |
| itige A music and | N14 T1 15 T | | ···· | _ | | |
| INMATE'S SIG | | | | | DA | ATE SIGNED |
| Assistance completing this form | provided by: _ | 1 and Norma | | | | |
| | | Last Name | Fi | rst Name | e | Signature |
| ☐ IAP is not required as the CI | OCR 1824 conf | tains | | | | |
| no disability access or discrin | | | Person maki | na dotor | mination | Title |

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 22 of 82

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION

HOURS/WATCH

HOUSING

REASONABLE MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION REQUEST

CDCR 1824 (Rev. 10/06)

INMATE/PAROLEE'S NAME(PRINT)

| INSTITUTION/PAROLE REGION: | LOG NUMBER: | CATEGORY: |
|----------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| CHCF- | C-15-0228D | 18. ADA |

ASSIGNMENT

NOTE: THIS FORM IS TO BE USED ONLY BY INMATES/PAROLEES WITH DISABILITIES

In processing this request, it will be verified that the inmate/parolee has a disability which is covered under the Americans With Disabilities Act.

CDC NUMBER

| shall, on the basis of disability, be excluded from participation in programs of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination. You may use this form to request specific reasonable modificate you to participate in a service, activity or program offered by the Dequalified/eligible to participate. Submit this completed form to the institution or facility's App within 15 working days of receipt at the Appeals Coordinator's Officed on the agree with the decision on this form, you may pursue constitutes a decision at the FIRST LEVEL of review. To proceed to SECOND LEVEL, attach this form to an Inmate/Parthe appeal form. Submit the appeal with attachment to the Appeals Coordinator rendered on this request form. If you are not satisfied with the SECOND LEVEL review decision | tion or accommodation which, is granted would enable epartment/institution/facility, for which you are otherwise eals Coordinator's Office. A decision will be rendered and the completed form will be returned to you. If you further review. The decision rendered on this form olee Appeal Form (CDC 602) and complete section "F" of 's Office within 15 days of your receipt of the decision |
|---|---|
| the CDC 602. | , you may requise thinks LEVEL review as instructed on |
| MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMO | DATION REQUESTED |
| DESCRIPTION OF DISABILITY: | Spordy (tis |
| 3,4,4,3,4,3 | |
| WHAT VERIFICATION DO YOU HAVE OF YOUR DISABILITY? | edical Revords |
| | |
| T was told by Appeal | Coordinator I would, detrois of 3 tear Locker |
| provided Record of Said | e not intorned or action |
| WHAT SPECIFIC MODIFICATION OR ACCOMMODATION IS REQUES' IN YOUR COSTOOL Medical Provide Ricard So I re | coer interim acconnectation |
| | alalic |
| INMATE/PAROLEE'S SIGNATURE | DATE SIGNED |

The massive ongoing recall of General Motors vehicles with faulty ignition switches (and the dozen years the company spent *not* issuing a recall) has made headlines, launched lawsuits, angered legislators, but many consumers who don't own a recalled car have shrugged and said, "Glad I don't drive one of them."

One small defect in a part that controls a car's ignition switch: that's what's at the root of a massive car recall linked to at least thirteen deaths. The cars all came from General Motors brands sold for most of a decade — and as we now know, someone, somewhere knew about the fatal potential of that defect for as long as it existed. The ignition switch problem affects everyone who drives a GM car. But the way that the defect was allowed to remain in the production line for so long affects nearly everyone who drives or shares roads with cars — whether you've ever set foot in a GM vehicle or not.

The GM ignition switch recall started in February with an announcement about 778,000 compact cars. Over the months since then, the recall list has expanded to include about 2.6 million Saturn Ion (2003-2007), Chevrolet Cobalt (2005-2010), Chevrolet HHR (2006-2011), Pontiac G5 (2007-2010), Pontiac Solstice (2006-2010), and Saturn Sky (2007-2010) vehicles. (GM has also recalled another three million cars this year for other, unrelated issues.)

MORE THAN JUST A RECALL

The recall, though, isn't just a recall. It's led to an investigation that has shown that GM and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) were, between them, peripherally aware of the defect for over ten years. Let's take a quick, summary review of key moments in the timeline of events:

- •2001-2002: The first report of a switch problem shows up in preproduction notes for the 2002 Saturn Ion.
- •2005: GM realizes the Chevy Cobalt has a problem with the ignition switch and opens repeated engineering inquiries, but takes no action.
- •2006: GM test drivers become aware of the ignition problem. GM makes some repairs, but mixes older, defective part and newer, improved part under same item number, causing years' worth of confusion.

- •2007: A NHTSA crash report makes mention of the ignition switch turning itself off. NHTSA proposes opening an investigation, but decides not to.
- .2010: After more accidents and deaths, NHTSA once again considers, but then decides against, opening a formal investigation.
- .2012-2013: GM internal testing finds that no, really, these ignition switches are broken.
- •2014: GM finally issues recalls due to the faulty part, totaling roughly 2.6 million vehicles.

At least 13 people were killed (and possibly more) due to this particular defect in that 13-year span, and dozens of other drivers complained about it. But between the start of the problem in 2001 and the enormous and very public recalls in 2014, a few things changed at GM. And by "a few things," we mean "everything."

GENERAL MOTORS IS DEAD. LONG LIVE GENERAL MOTORS.

The early years of the 21st century were not among GM's best. It's easy to see why a GM at the time was so desperately concerned with cutting costs wherever possible: after a high point in 1999, their annual sales numbers began to drop slowly but steadily from 2000 onward. They posted significant losses in 2005, 2006, and 2007, and so were already off to a rough start in 2008.

Then came, well, 2008 — a now-infamous year of domestic and international economic crisis. In the space of less than a year, everything tanked: the housing market collapsed, giant megabanks began flailing wildly, energy prices jumped, and the automotive industry found itself in dire straits.

The combination of a less-than-great half-decade and an international near-collapse of the industry was a one-two punch that GM couldn't withstand. In Nov. 2008 the company announced that without drastic action, they'd be out of cash and out of business by the middle of 2009.

Ford, Chrysler, and GM all testified before Congress in Dec. 2008 to the effect that the U.S. car industry, that most American of industries, was going to collapse in on itself without an infusion of federal bailout cash. Congress declined to hand over money, but GM did get a "bridge loan" from the Bush administration to keep it afloat while a longer-term solution

could be worked out.

Between Dec. 2008 and March 2009, things failed in any way to get better for GM as they kept moving through a back-and-forth of proposed business plans to and with the federal government. On March 30, 2009, the Obama administration announced that the government would not be handing GM a mountain of cash, but that a detailed restructuring plan including Chapter 11 bankruptcy had been worked out in order to save the company. GM officially filed for Chapter 11 reorganization in a New York court on June 1, 2009.

Under the terms of that Chapter 11 filing, a new corporate entity called NGMCO Inc. — the "new" GM corporation — purchased all of GM's "continued operational assets." As part of the terms of sale, NGMCO, Inc., changed its name to "General Motors" and kept all of GM's brands, logos, and trademarks. In one fell swoop, GM ceased to be GM, the troubled corporation with a pile of liabilities, and became GM, the newer, leaner corporation that conveniently left all its liabilities sitting in a trash heap near the door when it walked out.

As for that mess next to the door, the "Old GM" still had to clean it up. Having let the New GM walk off with its name and branding, the remnants of Old GM became the Motors Liquidation Company. That company has been working its way through the bankruptcy, liability, and debtor process ever since.

The New GM, about 60% owned by the U.S. Department of the Treasury, promptly shed jobs, dealerships, manufacturing facilities, and car brands. (Remember Pontiac, Saturn, Hummer, and Saab?) And most critically, they also shed liability for anything they did back when they were still the original GM.

LEAVING BEHIND THE BLAME

Several states' attorneys general, perhaps having a collective moment of clairvoyance, filed an objection to the liability exception part of GM's bankruptcy agreement, saying that potential later accident victims could lose "key legal rights" if it went through. (The Wall Street Journal ran a detailed explainer of the relevant legal aspects back in 2009.) Under the pressure, GM eventually agreed to somewhat expand the scope of its liability to accident victims.

The gist of the change meant that, "[C]onsumers driving old GM cars who

get in accidents during GM's several weeks in bankruptcy court, or after the new GM emerges, will be able to sue new GM."

At the time, then-Connecticut Attorney General Richard Blumenthal, one of the attorneys general who filed the objection, said:

"This agreement captures a very significant group of claims that wouldn't have been covered and is a very significant victory for consumer advocates. It may seem symbolic, but it will be very real and important to people who suffered injuries during this period of time, and it sets a highly significant precedent."

That GM product liability pact is now front and center in the wake of the recall. GM is trying to get lawsuits against it held on the grounds of the restructuring, claiming liability protection.

Former Connecticut AG Blumenthal is now United States Senator Blumenthal, and he's no less concerned about the new GM's liabilities for the old GM's actions than he was in 2009. In late March, he pressed the Justice Department to make sure that GM stays liable for GM's actions. At the time, he told Consumerist, "There is a very powerful legal and moral responsibility on the part of the federal government to intervene here. They enabled GM to emerge from reorganization with very extensive protections from legal responsibility for the death, injuries, and damage their defective vehicles caused."

NOW WHAT?

Well, that's really the billion-dollar question.

It will take months, if not years, for the Justice Department to carry out its criminal investigationand determine if charges are warranted. Getting the various civil suits sorted out will probably take even longer still. GM, in some way, will need to compensate the car owners, accident victims, and surviving families of those who were killed due to this error. That complicated question of how much legal liability GM actually bears for their own error and cover-up will be a key factor in every proceeding. But the most pressing question for the future isn't about GM at all. Although this recall is massive, and GM's particular tie to American taxpayers and the federal government is at play, this defect and this question of liability aren't the central issues we're facing. Instead, the real problem that the GM disaster has brought to light is that

nearly 250 million registered cars on the road in the United States... and 51 ODI employees to make sure that we all stay safe around them. Of those 51 employees a little over half are investigators, Bloomberg reports. It makes for a ratio of about 8.6 million cars on the road for every defect investigator NHTSA has. The agency also receives more than 40,000 consumer complaints per year — and of course, not every consumer who has reason to make a safety complaint ever bothers to do so. NHTSA's 2015 budget for investigating defects is about \$10.6 million, and it's been in that \$10 million ballpark for years.

With those odds, it starts to feel surprising that NHTSA actually catches as many problems as itdoes.

28 investigators can only capture so much data first-hand. In order to act, NHTSA relies on data from the car companies themselves. When the companies take their own sweet time providing it, as GM has been doing, the safety review process hits a bottleneck... and just stops going anywhere at all.

So where do we sit today?

Consumers are aware of the problems, but the defective GM cars are still on the road. GM is paying meager fines of \$7000 per day (from their 2013 revenue of \$3.8 billion) for each day theymiss their deadline for providing data to NHTSA. And thirteen people who were driving or riding in cars that had one small, faulty part in them are still dead.

Whatever this investigation uncovers about this particular defect, this tragic incident spotlights the fact that there are systemic problems with carmakers for whom lives are but data points on a cost/profit sheet and with regulators who ignore their own investigators' reports. Until those underlying issues are remedied, it's only a matter of time until another vehicle with a deadly defect is not only allowed to hit the road, but stay there for far too long.

Paragon 26 men 2004 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 28 of 82
PO Box 32200
Stockton Cy 95217

UNITED STATES BANKROPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOURK IN RE General Motor UC USON ITION SWITCH Litigation Darry Donsmore Plantiff General Motors etal Defendants enforcement of injunction

People V Le 1970 3 CA32 SIU 526 83 CR

1082

| 09-50026-mg Do | c 13504 Filed 10/1 المرد کی کرا | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|----------|------------------|----------|---------------|
| 175 CR 6 | | _ ~ | <i>,</i> | _ | | 4 |
| | | \ | 14-11 | 15.6 | | |
| Imbler V | | | | | . Levi | _ |
| 409 N25 | | | | | C1 404 | _ 2 |
| US V Bag | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | , |
| 87 1.52 | | - . | | | | - 5 |
| | Muryland | | | | 5 | |
| 87 10 L | 29 29 51 | 5 83 5 | ct 1 | 194 - | | - 5 |
| ١٦ ٤٦٩٩ | V Super | 00 600 | -f (1c | (41) | | |
| 54 C3d | 756 378 | 785 | CR 23 | s1 - | | 5 |
| | | Peral Co | • | | | |
| PC 31 | | | | , - - | | 7 |
| PC 37 | | _ | | | | يـر ب |
| PC 135 | | | _ | | _ | 3 |
| | 54 (e) - | | | | | 5 |
| , _ , _ | | contr | 4, | | | |
| 4 | | | 17 | | Pages | (|
| object | ,01 | | | | _ Pages | |
| Menora | 4 | | | | | |
| lodgen | | | | | Poges 3 | ٦ |
| | | Lodger | , tun | | | |
| Exh, b, f | nt scsc | 11.15 1 - 5 | | | 30 peg. | o < |
| • | _ | 045 638 | _ | | | |
| Exh. b. | prohonce | | ~ - | | _ 1 80 | se |
| Exh ib | it is tail | Tank. | 1.11 + | | 3ch pag | ડેશ્ય |
| HOUSELA | 5 in tent | 7 600 | abol T | 7h0 | • | • |
| | | | 116416 | 040 | ر ر و | f 2 |

0 00-50/026-ml Doc 13504-Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 30 of 82
Po Box 32200
Stack ton Ca 95217

UNITED STATES BANKRUPCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN BS Cope 09-50026 (ne.6) Genral Motors (15 ignation switch citigation range of lyna of Plant, If General Motor Etal Defendants objection to any Notion to strike, DISMISSa injunction upon

Introduction

This plaintiffs Complaint Exhibit A Directectly attacks The Conduct of The New GM in Solano Superior Court State of 00-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document

Lobel, Viking Rotal

this plant of contacts that the Delendants Present Conduct has and is voiding their Liability protection when the New GM began to violete state local and constitutional law of Colifornia when they failed to Release Disclose or hard over Exculpatory Constitutionally Marchated Discovery and began to destrey, or conceal Documentary Evidence petitioner has been forced to seek through his state tort after failure to respond to correspondence request failure to respond to correspondence request for Documentary Evidence in pocession of the Delendants Exhibit B

once the Defendants New 6th began
to Concluct themselfs in this manner Depriving
Petitioner of Constitutionally Mandate Discovery
which would Prove his actual mocence
claims in his writ of Habeas on Counction
in the Southern District of California
Couse 0011013 GPC PCI The Dew Defendants
became Accessories under California (aw
Penal Code 37 To the principle Delendants
The old 6th as Defined by Penal Code 31
Voiding Their otherwise Lightimete

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document To deprive petitioner of Evidence in Their Possession and knowledge of Successor of old am Assets in the form of exculpatory Documentation that support The Malfunction Plantits vehical the Alleged weapon as The Cause of the incident and not entert of the plaintill in the alleged coininal conduct which plaintill now only continues to suffer from because The acts of the New GM to Conceal Destroy quidence in violation of leval Code 135 Thereby concealing The action of The principle as Defined in Pual Code 31 to prevent prosecution in a court establishs the New BM Defendant as Accessories as Defined n Penal Code Section 32 forficting NEW GM'S Liebility protection, Dismissal of This Plaintill action and any motion to strike of stay this plaintills Action or claims Nor Can any injunction supercede Plaintills legal right to the evidence

3085

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document of Question which Ph33 of Blacktiff seeks which is constitutionally mendated any Continued refusal Clearly supports plaintiffs Claims of Fraud neloding to this court where they bliege not to be in concert with the old an oethodoxis actions yet continue to attempt to protect the principle cause the old an oethodoxis actions the principle cause the old an oethodoxis with actions that Define and Describe the New actions of Deladants as accessories in the old an oethodoxis as accessories in the old an oethodoxis as accessories in the old and oethodoxis accessories ac

As Declared by the been GM Defendants
They became successor in 'of the old GM
Defendants assets which hoppened to be
Documentary evidence which supports
Plaintiffs Claims of Actual inference in
which petitioner seeks to over two his
wrong ful Conviction

However at This time it is The New GM Deludants choice to refuse The Discovery and evidence request in Violation penal Gode 135 That They know is to be used in Trial and are Concealing and Destroying in in Violation of Celifornia and Constitutional Cau

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 34 of 82 Detectants and not Provide Notice to individules housed n county Jail Therby Depriving atleast this Plaint. It of his Due process to file a claim in a timely Maner Richabet C Défendants should of Made attempts to have doubted innotes both hed with Posted henorendons in Country duits Defendants should allow and compensate This Plaintill on any late fileing as the declared they would provide to The court Conpesation Plantiff Controls New on veledants
Action have borred then from taking
any action against This complaint Through The Bonkrupey Coart Plantiff fortur reguest a Declatory order Overting New on Defendants from Destroying or cancealing any More Oceanistary enclude no this and pleantill's Case in ques tru to eclare under puolty of perjung the foregoing is thre a/22/15 DND-c

5065

09-50026mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 35 of 82

PD 6237 C3A - 132

PO BOX 32200

Stockton Ca 95213

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE
GENERAL Metor ICC
IGNITION SWITCH Litigation

Darry Downsore

Plantiff

General Motors et al

Defendants

Memorandom of Points and

Asthorties in support of objection

To any Motor to strike, stay 1

Injunction upon this plaintiff

Plaintiffs Complaint attacks Conduct of Plaintiffs Complaint attacks Conduct of The (New GM Ochendents) who according to State, local and Constitutional Caw established Thenselfs as independent actors Accessories Thenselfs as independent actors Accessories to The Crimes and Misconduct of The

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46, Main Document Principle actors (org. 86 or 82 Detried in California Penal Code Statiste.

Peral Code 31 Principles Defined Defined who are principles All persons concerned in The Commission of a Crime wether it Be I clony of Mischenener and wether They Directly commit The act Constituting The office or aid and abet in its commission and all persons courseling, advisoing)

Peral Code 32 Accessories defined
Frey Person who after a belong has been committed thologies conceals or aids a principal in such felong with the intent that said principal May avoid or escape from arrest trial Conviction or punishmed have: as knowledge that said principal has comitted such felong or has been charged with such belong or Convicted there of is an accessory to such felong

According to PC 31 The New GM established it self as Accessories after hoveing knowledge of Said principals crimes which it attested to in its own fileings with this court with in the newly of PC 72 See any Brack Metion filed by Defendants

when they independently choose to Conceal Destrong Documbary evidence after plaintiff Mark regrest and botice of pending investigation and trial action with in the Meaning of

<u>Peneal Coole 135</u> Destroy OR CONCEAING Documentary evidence

(every person who, knowing That any Book, paper record natroment in writeing or other matter or thing is about to be produced in eviduce upon any trial inquiry or mestigation what ever authorized by Taw willfully destoys of conceals the Same with intent their by to Prevent it from being produced is guilty)

Plaintiff Made the inquiry Through Correspondence Notified the New BM of Pending actions according leval Code 135 and Defendants New Gram Made independent Action to Deprive plaintill of Constitutionally Mandated Discovery Conceal Destroy said documentary evidence which support Petitioners Claim in a writ of habeas Concerning the wrong ful Conviction of one actually innocent Case outigy 6PC PCI Southern District of California Said Docomentary Evidence Supports The Merits of plaintiff's Claims That The incident was not intuitional on plaintiff Part but occurred because The Malfonction of The product Sold by The old GM Ochedon'ts and Their Misconduct

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document
Placint. HS (ause P93806 82 cutton Directly
attacks the present conclust of EM Defendants
(The New EM Defendants) which action
(The New Emodernet Accessories to The old
EM Defendants) conduct (The principals) when
EM Defendants) conduct (The principals) when
The New Defendants (New EM) took The present
action to Conceal Destroy Exculpatory evidence
of the (old EM Defendants) Conduct which
Plaintiffs Complaint was filed see Exhibit
Plaintiffs Complaint was filed see Exhibit

Plaintill Contends that Il The New GM Debendants had simply completed with plantiff request concerning evidence of exculpatory Neture of Malfonctioning Defective parts New GMS cibbolities protection would be in full force against plaintiff and there would be no couse of action but New GM Dehndants did not choose That action but instead to conceal and destroy constitutionally madeted Discovery and attempts to Manipulate This court into an accessory as well to its court into an accessory as well to its (course) for The Odudant New GM Must Disclose People u Meredith (1981) 29 C3d 682 696 175 CR 612

Filed 10/19/15 As supported by Egge, of 83.7 C Plaintiff was in Lad at Three time of Notice to file a Claim with the old GA Defendant Plantiff orgues Defendents did not Provide tue Oiligence to individules in dail Concerning Due process rights as Plaintill access to TV, Kadiopprint are all restricted The Magnitude of The Miscouchiet was massive mough to move for menorandum postrig in institution were such restrictions exist imbler v Pachman (1976) धरम ७६ ५०५ १२५ ५७ । १४ २४ १४४ । ४१ 96 5 cf a84 /US U Bogley (1985) 473 65 667 476 87 1 6d rel 481 490 105 5 ct 3375 Brady V Maryland (1963) 373 48 83 87 10 (2d 3 d 215 83 S ct 1194 see final code 1054(e) (Discovery Beguined by mitech states Constitution Must occur) trazaga 285 (RZ31 Plantit argues that Deick of any relief would be a Manifestation of injustice and Man, festly unconsiderable I Declare under Pualty of pryay The Foregoing is true

4/2/10 0-10 -50f5 Dar 09-5pd 26-mb Doc 13504 a Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 40 of 82

Po Box 32200

Stockton Cu 95217

UNATED STATES BANK RUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
IN RE
GENERAL Motor LLC
16 Nition Switch Litigation
Darryl Duranere
Plaintiff
V
General Motors et al
Defendants

1061

Superior Court of California County of Solano



CLERK OF THE COURT

July 22, 2015

Ulonda Hill Trust Account Office 1600 California Drive Vacaville, CA 95687

Re: DARRYL DUNSMORE V GMC, LOBEL, VIKING, ET AL.

Solano Superior Court Case No. FCS045638

Dear Ms Hill:

Enclosed please find the following documents in regards to the above case:

1. Initial/Subsequent Billing Statement

If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact me at (707) 207-7330.

Sincerely,

Legal Process Clerk II
Civil/Small Claims Division

Cc: Darryl Dunsmore w/enclosures

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

INITIAL BILLING STATEMENT

| DAT | E: | July 22, 2015 | |
|------|------------------------------|---|---------|
| TO: | | Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation | |
| | | County Jail | |
| (Sup | | o Government Code §68635(d) and an <i>Order on</i> Court) filed on <u>July 15, 2015,</u> please forward paym ows: | |
| NAM | IE OF II | NMATE:DARRYL DUNSMORE | |
| INM/ | ATE NO |):AD6237 | |
| PRIS | ON/JA | IL FACILITY: CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY | |
| SOL | ANO C | OUNTY CASE NUMBER: FCS045638 | |
| CAL | CULAT | ION OF INITIAL PARTIAL FILING FEE PAYABLE BY | INMATE: |
| (1) | Filing fee: <u>\$ 435.00</u> | | |
| (2) | (a) | Average monthly deposits to inmate's account: | \$ 0 |
| | (b) | Average monthly balance in the inmate's account for the six-month period immediately preceding | |
| | | the application: | \$ 0 |
| (3) | 20% | of the greater of (2)(a) or (2)(b): | \$ 0 |
| (4) | INITI | AL PAYMENT NOW DUE AND PAYABLE: | \$ 0 |

Please pay amount listed on line (4). Mail payment to:

Superior Court of California, County of Solano Attention: CIVIL/SMALL CLAIMS
Old Solano Courthouse
580 Texas Street
Fairfield, CA 94533

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 43 of 82 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA Clerk of the Superior **COUNTY OF SOLANO**

| | JUL 2.2 2015 | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
| PLAINTIFF: <u>DARRYL DUNSMORE #AD6237</u> | CASE NO. <u>FCS045638</u> | | |
| DEFENDANT: GMC, LOBEL, VIKING, ET AL. | CLERK'S APPLICATION AND ORDER | | |
| I, the undersigned, employed as a Legal Process Clerk for the about a vacating default described below. vacating judgment described below. vacating answer and/or other responsive please complex case determination pursuant to CRC other. | ading described below. | | |
| Document: CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET | | | |
| Date filed: 7/15/15 | | | |
| Reason: Item #2 of Civil Case Cover Sheet is marked "Is | s" complex. | | |
| I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and co Executed on 7/15/15, at Fairfield, California. By: | OMOVI | | |
| | Deputy Clerk | | |
| ORDER | 3 | | |
| Upon consideration of the clerk's application and review of the co | ourt file: | | |
| IT IS ORDERED: | | | |
| ☐ The default(s) of the defendant(s) named in the clerk | | | |
| The judgment entered on is vacated. | | | |
| The answer and/or other responsive pleading is vacated. | | | |
| Case is determined to be complex (CRC 3.403) Case is determined not to be complex (CRC 3.403). | | | |
| Complex Case fees of \$1,000.00 due per defendant v | | | |
| | at in Dept | | |
| Other: | 11 | | |
| Dated: | | | |
| | JUDGE | | |
| | | | |

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 44 of 82

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO

[X] 580 Texas Street, Fairfield, CA 94533 [] 600 Union Avenue, Fairfield, CA 94533

CASE NO: FCS045638

CERTIFICATE AND AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

I, the undersigned, certify under penalty of perjury that I am employed as a deputy clerk of the above-entitled court and am not a party to the within-entitled action; that I served the attached document:

CLERK'S APPLICATION AND ORDER

By causing to be placed a true copy thereof in an envelope which was then sealed and postage fully prepaid on the date shown below; that I am readily familiar with the business practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service; that the above stated document will be deposited in the Superior Court of California, County of Solano's outgoing mailbox for collection by county mail carriers on the date indicated. Said envelope was addressed to the attorneys for the parties, or the parties, as shown below:

DARRYL DUNSMORE #AD6237 CALIFORNIA MEDICAL FACILITY PO BOX 2000 VACAVILLE, CA 95696-2000

Dated: 7/22/15

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

FW-003

Order on Court Fee Waiver (Superior Court)

| (Superior Court) | FILED |
|---|--|
| Person who asked the court to waive court fees: Name: DARRYL DUNSMORE #AD6237 | Clerk of the Superior Court |
| Street or mailing address: CMF PO BOX 2000 | JUL 1 5 2015 |
| City: VACAVILLE State: CA Zip: 95696-2000 | 1 COMMOND |
| Lawyer, if person in 1 has one (name, address, phone number, e-mail, and State Bar number): | DEPUTY CLERK Fill in court name and street address: |
| | Superior Court of California, County of |
| | SOLANO |
| | OLD SOLANO COURTHOUSE |
| A request to waive court fees was filed on (date): 7/15/2015 | 580 TEXAS STREET |
| The court made a previous fee waiver order in this case on (date): | FAIRFIELD, CA 94533 |
| The court made a previous fee warver order in this case on (aute). | Fill in case number and name: |
| | Case Number: |
| D. Lattice. C. H. Allatan Lattice. Many count and are | FCS045638 |
| Read this form carefully. All checked boxes 🗹 are court orders. | Case Name: |
| | DUNSMORE V GMC, LOBEL, et al. |
| | . ————————————————————————————————————— |
| is a change in your financial circumstances during this case that increases your notify the trial court within five days. (Use form FW-010.) If you win your cast to pay the fees. If you settle your civil case for \$10,000 or more, the trial court amount of the waived fees. The trial court may not dismiss the case until the li | se, the trial court may order the other side will have a lien on the settlement in the |
| 4) | Request to Waive Additional Court Fees es shall be made pursuant |
| a. In the court grants your request, as follows: to Government | |
| (1) Example 1 Fee Waiver. The court grants your request and waives your carries of Court, rules 3.55 and 8.818.) You do not have to pay | |
| • | g notice and certificates |
| • | ng papers to another court department |
| | appointed interpreter in small claims court |
| • Court fee for phone hearing | |
| • Reporter's fee for attendance at hearing or trial, if reporter provi | |
| Assessment for court investigations under Probate Code section Preparing, certifying, copying, and sending the clerk's transcript | |
| • Holding in trust the deposit for a reporter's transcript on appeal u | |
| Making a transcript or copy of an official electronic recording up | |
| (2) Additional Fee Waiver. The court grants your request and w and costs that are checked below. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3) | |
| checked items. | .50.7 Tou do not have to pay for the |
| | for a peace officer to testify in court |
| | -appointed interpreter fees for a witness |
| Other (specify): | |
| | |

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pa 46 of 82 Case Number: FCS045638 Your name: b. \square The court denies your fee waiver request, as follows: Warning! If you miss the deadline below, the court cannot process your request for hearing or the court papers you filed with your original request. If the papers were a notice of appeal, the appeal may be dismissed. (1) The court denies your request because it is incomplete. You have 10 days after the clerk gives notice of this order (see date of service on next page) to: • Pay your fees and costs, or • File a new revised request that includes the items listed below (specify incomplete items): (2) The court denies your request because the information you provided on the request shows that you are not eligible for the fee waiver you requested (specify reasons): The court has enclosed a blank Request for Hearing About Court Fee Waiver Order (Superior Court), form FW-006. You have 10 days after the clerk gives notice of this order (see date of service below) to: • Pay your fees and costs in full or the amount listed in c. below, or • Ask for a hearing in order to show the court more information. (Use form FW-006 to request hearing.) c.

The court needs more information to decide whether to grant your request. You must go to court on the date below. The hearing will be about (specify questions regarding eligibility): Bring the following proof to support your request if reasonably available: Name and address of court if different from above: Hearing **Date** Warning! If item c is checked, and you do not go to court on your hearing date, the judge will deny your request to waive court fees, and you will have 10 days to pay your fees. If you miss that deadline, the court cannot process the court papers you filed with your request. If the papers were a notice of appeal, the appeal may be dismissed. Date: 7/15/2015 X Clerk, Deputy Signature of (check one): Judicial Officer Request for Accommodations. Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least 5 days before your hearing. Contact the clerk's office for Request for Accommodation, Form MC-410. (Civil Code, § 54.8.) Clerk's Certificate of Service A certificate of mailing is attached. I certify that I am not involved in this case and (check one): I handed a copy of this order to the party and attorney, if any, listed in (1) and (2), at the court, on the date below. This order was mailed first class, postage paid, to the party and attorney, if any, at the addresses listed in (1) and (2), , California on the date below from (city): FAIRFIELD Date: 7/15/2015 Clerk, by

This is a Court Order.

| | | SUM-100 |
|--|--|---|
| SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: & MC, Colack (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): | ,Vileing-Stal | FOR COURT USE ONLY |
| YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): | ASSIGNED T JUDGE Harry s. FOR ALL PURPO | OSES |
| NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. You case. There may be a court form that you can use for your responding Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your counter court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your responding by taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an a referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligit these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site ((www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in jAVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, it continuación. Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguer corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una card en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una card en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte y hacer que se se su condado o en la corte que le quede más que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no prespodrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un a remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derect cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediai pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte puede desect | papers are served on you to file a way our written response must be in prosen. You can find these court forms unty law library, or the courthouse new se on time, you may lose the case it torney right away. If you do not know the for free legal services from a now www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the Calor county bar association. NOTE: The a civil case. The court's lien must be a corte puede decidir en su contra set a cuna llamada telefónica no lo prote. Es posible que haya un formula en el Centro de Ayuda de las Corte cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de senta su respuesta a tiempo, puede bogado inmediatamente. Si no conte estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) no a reclamar las cuotas y los costo inte un acuerdo o una concesión de nar el caso. | written response at this court and have a copy oper legal form if you want the court to hear your and more information at the California Courts learest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask by default, and your wages, money, and property ow an attorney, you may want to call an attorney of an attorney, you may want to call an attorney of an attorney of the courts online Self-Help Center of the court has a statutory lien for waived fees and the paid before the court will dismiss the case. Sin escuchar su version. Lea la información a presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta otegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar rio que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Is de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte de perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le coce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de la obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un el sitio web de California Legal Services, o o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el sexentos por imponer un gravamen sobre arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que |
| (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): 380 feses | Ca 94533 | FCSD45638 |
| The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attor (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado Domes Domes de Company Compan | o del demandante, o del demandante, o del demandante, o del demandante, o del demandante | dante que no tiene abogado, es): 2000 Vacaville Ca 95696 |
| 3. On behalf of (specinum of the company of the com | oof of Service of Summons, (PC SERVED: You are served fendant. If under the fictitious name of (sp. 179): 10 (corporation) 20 (defunct corporation) 40 (association or partnership) [cify): | (Adjunto) DS-010)). Decify): CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person) |
| | | Page 1 of 1 |

ついた509-50826-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 48 of 82

Po Box 2000 Vaca: Ne Ca 95696 Pro Se

Clerk of the Superior Court

JUL 1 5 2015

ASSIGNED TO
JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicutt
FOR ALL PURPOSES

By MANY GLINK

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CANFORNA SOLAND COUNTY

Dary / Dusner Flant Cf

GMC, Lobel, V. King 84 Al Opterchants Case# F08040038

Declaration in support
of TRO | INJUNCTION ORDER
IN SUPPORT OF Compley
unlimited civil Complement

I Darry Dinguare plaint. If in the above captioned case state Daclare The parties involved have been Notified by Us Mail as pertains to Defindants GMC, label, V. King of The Carphaint and TRO Multimeter and order to Show Cause

tocalare under pualty of perjary the baregoing

5/28/15 D-10 -e 6/12/15

Pg 49 of 82 1AD6277 6-1-14 PO BOX 2000 Vacaville Ca 95696 Pra Se

ASSIGNED TO
JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicutt FOR ALL PURPOSES

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY Of SOLAND

Daryl Dusmare Plant, If

1 case # FCS 045638

OMC, cobel, Viking Et Al! Debudents

Motion for TRO
INJUNETIVE Relief

Statement of the Case "This is a civil tort Claim unlimited Complex case Concerning large Corporation and Numerous Detendants who in 2006-2007 conducted themselfs in a Fraudulant Moner to Sell a vehical to the plaintiff known or should have been known to be stolen with Defective parts esther ntially installed by the Defudant EMC or later by un Authorized

op 50026-mg Dog 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54-60 Main Document sed workers which said 50 of which the Plaint. If was wrongly Convited Suffering personal nywy loss of property instronal Distress and loss of foture earnings and with Malice and near have Continued to refuse plaint. If excel patory evidence Concerning such allegation of which would exercate the plaint. If and hold defendant liable

statement of the facts

1. The plaintiff was nodved in an accident on Dec 3 2007

z. Defendants paid a disclaimen to the witness terry Rahn who Deelared 97 an accident

3. Appraiser Report noncates a Duplicate title and indication theat the Vehical May be Stolen or taypered with

M. The Delendants had the aprility prior to the accident to know of the property being Stoler or tempered with

5. The Debudants Manufactured and installed Defective parts and failed to disclose such safty risks and Continue to do so 09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document

16. The Am bags D. I Pg 51, of 82 Deploy

7. The plaintiff has been wrongly convicted, Personally injured, Lost Luture earning, Property loss and smotion Distress

8. The Debudants St. 11 awn posses have knowledge of Material facts that are Exculpatory in nature in the form of present knowledge written, Recorded, Electoric records, Menes papers or other effects

9. Said above artifact Can Exorvate The plantiff and hold Defendant hable

Argrenat

Because the Defendants can be held both Criminally and Substantially, financially liable Plaint. If Motions this copyrt for a protective order Preventing the Defendants from Destoying any record that may pertain in any form to the allegations in the Coul Complaint or any other action that Might Make such Records uncivalable, from taking any Displinary or legal action against any employee witness with information Concerning the Civil Complaint and its allegation

I Deline under pualty of purjoy The foregoing

\$\frac{1}{2915} D-10 =
6/12/15 3.53

| ATTORNEY OR FART, MUHOU DOGRILO SAME SAME SAME | | 5.09:54:46 Main Document CM-01 |
|--|--|---|
| AD6237 G-1-14 PO BOX 2 | 000 Vacan. 18 Ca 95696 | |
| TELEPHONE NO. | FAX. NO.: | FILED |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF | 5 (6.6 | Clerk of the Superior Court |
| | Solano Stil | JUL 1 5 2015 |
| CITY AND ZIP CODE: fa. r feel d | Ca 94533 Court house | (Mrana) |
| CASE NAME: | el, Viking Et Al | DEPUPY CLERK |
| CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET Unlimited Limited | Complex Case Designation | CASE NUMBER COADIBS |
| (Amount (Amount demanded is | Counter Joinder Filed with first appearance by defenda | nt JUDGE: Harry S. Kinnicutt |
| exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) | (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402) | DEPT: 3 |
| 1. Check one box below for the case type that | w must be completed (see instructions on | page 2). |
| Auto Tort | | ovisionally Complex Civil Litigation |
| Auto (22) | Breach of contract/warranty (06) (C) Rule 3.740 collections (09) | al. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) |
| Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property | Other collections (09) | Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) Construction defect (10) |
| Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04) | Insurance coverage (18) Other contract (37) | Mass tort (40) Securities litigation (28) |
| Product liability (24) | Real Property | Environmental/Toxic tort (30) |
| Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (23) | Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) | Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case |
| Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort | Wrongful eviction (33) Other real property (26) | types (41) forcement of Judgment |
| Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Civil rights (08) | Unlawful Detainer | Enforcement of judgment (20) |
| Defamation (13) | Commercial (31) Mis | scellaneous Civil Complaint |
| Fraud (16) Intellectual property (19) | Drugs (38) | RICO (27) Other complaint (not specified above) (42) |
| Professional negligence (25) Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35) | Judicial Review Asset forfeiture (05) | scellaneous Civil Petition |
| Employment | Petition re: arbitration award (11) | Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other petition (not specified above) (43) |
| Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15) | Writ of mandate (02) Other judicial review (39) | t des most practical and the second |
| | ex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules | of Court. If the case is complex, mark the |
| a. Large number of separately represe | ented parties d. Earge number of | |
| b. Extensive motion practice raising di issues that will be time-consuming t | | related actions pending in one or more courts, states, or countries, or in a federal court |
| c. Substantial amount of documentary | evidence f. Substantial postju | udgment judicial supervision |
| 3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. \(\sum_{\text{1}}\) | | |
| 4. Number of causes of action (specify): Ç no 5. This case is is not a class | , | each of Contract |
| 6. If there are any known related cases, file and | | use form CM-015.) |
| Date: 5/28/15 6/12/13 | umare 1 - | |
| (TYPE OF PRINT NAME) | (SIGNAT | TURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY, |
| Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the firs under the Probate Code, Family Code, or We | NOTICE t paper filed in the action or proceeding (ex elfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of | xcept small claims cases or cases filed f Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result |
| in sanctions. • File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet sheet and the sheet addition to any cover sheet sheet and the sheet addition to any cover sheet sheet and the sheet addition to any cover sheet addition to | sheet required by local court rule. | n e spaka i s |
| If this case is complex under rule 3,400 et set other parties to the action or proceeding. | | |
| Unless this is a collections case under rule 3. From Advance to Manager 1989. | | Page 1 of 2 |
| Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Counci, of California CM-010 [Rev. July 1, 2007] | CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET | Ca. Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3,220, 3,400–3,403, 3,740 Ca. Standards of Judicia Administration, std. 3,10 www.courtinfo.ca.gov |

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action, To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that

the case is complex. Auto Tort Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item r Instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxiclenvironmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD. Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort **Business Tort/Unfair Business** Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice

(not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35) Employment Wrongful Termination (36)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) **Auto Subrogation** Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute Real Property **Eminent Domain/Inverse** Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure) Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39)

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41) Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tortinon-complex) 🙈 Other Civil Complaint (non-tortinon-complex) Miscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Election Contest Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Other Civil Petition

CM-010

Other Employment (15)

Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

| 09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/1 | 5 09:54:46 Main Docum Php-PI-001 |
|--|--|
| ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address: 954 of state Dury | FOR COURT USE ONLY |
| A06237 G-1-14 PO BOX ZOED Vacan. he ca 95696 | |
| | Clerk of the Superior Court |
| EAV NO (Onlineally | Sierk of the superior Cont |
| TELEPHONE NO: FAX NO. (Optional): | JUL 1 5 2015 |
| E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional): ATTORNEY FOR (Name): P C S L | 8 JOE T 9 5013 |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Solaro | \sqrt{N} |
| STREET ADDRESS: 500 TRYCS SOT | By |
| MAILING ADDRESS: | Parot t Clark |
| CITY AND ZIP CODE: (07 e) C. 94537 BRANCH NAME: OF DESCRIPTIONS COURT HOUSE | |
| | - \$435 FWOF |
| PLAINTIFF: Davy Divisione | |
| - CMY I -bal II la com Ad | |
| DEFENDANT: GMC, Lobel, U. King at se | A GOT- |
| DOES 1 TO | ASSIGNED TO |
| COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death | ASSIGNED TO JUDGE Harry S. Kinnicu |
| AMENDED (Number): | FOR ALL PURPOSES |
| Type (check all that apply): | |
| MOTOR VEHICLE OTHER (specify): | |
| Property Damage Wrongful Death Personal Injury Other Damages (specify): | |
| Personal Injury Other Damages (specify): Jurisdiction (check all that apply): | CASE NUMBER |
| ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE | · 【新花·新疆 等於 李德等 # 李德多斯· [1] · 李德(·] (] |
| Amount demanded does not exceed \$10,000 | Corion is a limited of the form |
| exceeds \$10,000, but does not exceed \$25,000 | 5-00 Act. 05 |
| ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (exceeds \$25,000) ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint | FCSU5438 |
| from limited to unlimited | |
| from unlimited to limited | |
| Plaintiff (name or names): Derry Down | |
| alleges causes of action against defendant (name or names): 6 MC, Col2s | 1 1 1 |
| | or king of a.) |
| This pleading, including attachments and exhibits, consists of the following number of page 11. | ages: |
| Each plaintiff named above is a competent adult | |
| a. except plaintiff (name): | |
| (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California (2) an unincorporated entity (describe): | The state of the s |
| (3) a public entity (describe): | |
| (4) a minor an adult | |
| (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guar | dian ad litem has been appointed |
| (b) other (specify): | |
| (5) other (specify): | |
| b. except plaintiff (name): | |
| (1) a corporation qualified to do business in California | |
| (2) an unincorporated entity (describe):(3) a public entity (describe): | · |
| (4) a minor an adult | |
| (a) for whom a guardian or conservator of the estate or a guardian | dian ad litem has been appointed |
| (b) other (specify): | |
| (5) other (specify): | |
| | |
| Information about additional plaintiffs who are not competent adults is shown in Atta | Page 1 of 3 |
| orm Approved for Optional Use COMPLAINT—Personal Injury. Property | Code of Civil Procedure, § 425.12 |

| • . | | 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document | | | |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SH | HORT TITLE: Pg 55 of 82 | CASE NUMBER: | | | |
| | Dinguore V GMC ET al | | | | |
| 4. [| Plaintiff (name): Complete Construction of the fictitious name (specify): | | | | |
| | and has complied with the fictitious business name laws. | t | | | |
| 5. | Each defendant named above is a natural person a. except defendant (name): (a) La C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C | (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): | | | |
| | (4) a public entity (describe): | (4) a public entity (describe): | | | |
| | (5) other (specify): | (5) other (specify): | | | |
| | b. except defendant (name): (1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): | except defendant (name): (1) a business organization, form unknown (2) a corporation (3) an unincorporated entity (describe): | | | |
| | (4) a public entity (describe): | (4) a public entity (describe): | | | |
| | (5) other (specify): | (5) other (specify): | | | |
| | Information about additional defendants who are not natural personal | ons is contained in Complaint—Attachment 5. | | | |
| 6. | 6. The true names and capacities of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff. | | | | |
| 7. | 7. Defendants who are joined pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 382 are (names): | | | | |
| 8. | 8. This court is the proper court because a. At least one defendant now resides in its jurisdictional area. b. the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or unincorporated association is in its jurisdictional area. c. injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its jurisdictional area. d. other (specify): Keel Property Thet is the Subject of this action is Leader of the principal place of business of a defendant corporation or unincorporated association is in its jurisdictional area. c. injury to person or damage to personal property occurred in its jurisdictional area. d. Solve of this action is | | | | |
| 9. | Plaintiff is required to comply with a claims statute, and a. plaintiff has complied with applicable claims statutes, or b. plaintiff is excused from complying because (specify): | edical neopication | | | |

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 56 of 82 **PLD-PI-001** CASE NUMBER SHORT TITLE: BMC The following causes of action are attached and the statements above apply to each (each complaint must have one or more causes of action attached): a. Motor Vehicle General Negligence Intentional Tort T Products Liability **Premises Liability** Franch Other (specify): 11. Plaintiff has suffered a. wage loss loss of use of property hospital and medical expenses general damage property damage loss of earning capacity other damage (specify): wong ful (on, cto) The damages claimed for wrongful death and the relationships of plaintiff to the deceased are listed in Attachment 12. as follows: b. 13. The relief sought in this complaint is within the jurisdiction of this court. 14. Plaintiff prays for judgment for costs of suit; for such relief as is fair, just, and equitable; and for a. (1) compensatory damages (2) punitive damages The amount of damages is (in cases for personal injury or wrongful death, you must check (1)): (1) according to proof (2) in the amount of: \$ 15. The paragraphs of this complaint alleged on information and belief are as follows (specify paragraph numbers): 6/12/15

COMPLAINT—Personal Injury, Property Damage, Wrongful Death

09-50026-mg__.Doc.13504__Filed.10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document The Sheriff must have original, signed instructions by the proper of page without attorney in accordance with CCP 262 and 687.010 www.sdsheriff.net/csb courtlocations.html

| Plaintiff vs GMC, Cobel, V. King Ctal Case Number |
|--|
| To the Sheriff, you are instructed to serve the following documents: |
| Summons and Complaint/Petition Order to Show Cause Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claims) Order to Appear Civil Bench Warrant Other |
| Writ of Possession for Real Property (Eviction) |
| Agent to Contact for Eviction: Daytime Phone: () Ext: |
| Are there any officer safety concerns that you are aware of? |
| Explain: |
| Agent for Service (if applicable) |
| Address: Viking 7878 Clarment Bluel |
| City State CA Zip 92111 Gate Code (if applicable) Best time to attempt service at this address: |
| Description: |
| Name of Employer (if applicable) Leston 6-energy |
| Employer's Address 5230 Les Virgeres Rd City Calabasas State CA Zip91307-3447 |
| Best time to attempt service at employer |
| Special Instructions |
| The Sheriff's Department DOES NOT guarantee service. The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees whether the service is completed or not. (California Government Code 26738) All communications, refunds and collections will be made to the name and address listed below Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service |
| Dan/ Dussiere A06237 61-229 |
| Address Po Box 2000 City Vacauille State Ca C5696 |
| Telephone Number () Fax Number () |
| Email address |
| Signature Date $\frac{5/28/13}{6/12/15}$ |
| HEARING DATE LAST DAY TO SERVE FEE WAIVER ATTACHED? Y N |

09-50026-mg__Doc.13504 __Filed.10/19/15 __Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 __Main Document The Sheriff must have original, signed instructions by the profes of pag without attorney in accordance with CCP 262 and 687.010 www.sdsheriff.net/csb courtlocations.html

| Plaintiff Defendant Case Number |
|--|
| To the Sheriff, you are instructed to serve the following documents: |
| ☐Summons and Complaint/Petition ☐Order to Show Cause ☐Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claims) ☐Order to Appear ☐Civil Bench Warrant ☐Other |
| Writ of Possession for Real Property (Eviction) |
| Agent to Contact for Eviction: Daytime Phone. () Ext: |
| Are there any officer safety concerns that you are aware of? Yes No Gate Code: |
| Explain: |
| Agent for Service (if applicable): |
| Address: HD&C CHUBB General insurance Company Cimited 5th Flor |
| Address: HDFC CHUBB General insurance Company Cinited 5th Flor City Copess towers Navinan Point State Mustaskip 400-021 Gate Code (if applicable) Best time to attempt service at this address: |
| Description / <td< td=""></td<> |
| Name of Employer (if applicable) GMC Corf Employer's Address 8585 E Frank Cloyd washt Blud City Scotts date State State AAZ Zip 85260 Best time to attempt service at employer Special Instructions |
| The Sheriff's Department DOES NOT guarantee service The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees whether the service is completed or not. (California Government Code 26738) All communications, refunds and collections will be made to the name and address listed below Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service COCOLOR OF COLOR OF COLO |
| POBOR 2000 Vacaville Ca 95696 |
| Telephone Number () Fax Number () |
| Email address |
| Signature D-10- Date 5/28/15 6/12/15 |
| HEARING DATE LAST DAY TO SERVE FEE WAIVER ATTACHED? Y N |

| www.susnern.represe-countocations.main |
|--|
| Day Defendant VS GAC Lobe Vilus Stal Case Number |
| To the Sheriff, you are instructed to serve the following documents: |
| Summons and Complaint/Petition Gorder to Show Cause Claim of Plaintiff/Defendant (Small Claims) Order to Appear Civil Bench Warrant Other |
| □Writ of Possession for Real Property (Eviction) |
| Agent to Contact for Eviction: Daytime Phone:() |
| Are there any officer safety concerns that you are aware of? Yes No Gate Code: |
| Explain: |
| PARTY TO BE SERVED: Cobe Agent for Service (if applicable): Address: 1150 Magnolia Ave |
| City: Anahe.m State: CA Zip: 9280(-2605- |
| Gate Code (if applicable): Best time to attempt service at this address: |
| Description: / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / |
| |
| Employer's Address City Best time to attempt service at employer |
| Special Instructions: |
| The Sheriff's Department DOES NOT guarantee service. The Sheriff's Department to its fees whether the service is completed or not. (California Government Code 26738) All communications, refunds and collections will be made to the name and address listed below: Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service: |
| Address City State Zip |
| 80 Box 2000 Vacaville ca 95696 |
| Telephone Number: () Fax Number: () |
| Email address |
| Signature Date: |
| HEARING DATE LAST DAY TO SERVE FEE WAIVER ATTACHED? Y N |

The Speriff One of the Country of th

| | VS | | | |
|---|--|---|---|----------------------|
| Plaintiff | | D | efendant | |
| Court Case Number | | Levying O | fficer File Number | |
| the Sheriff, you are instructed to execute a: (O | one levy per instruction ON | LY): | | |
| ☐ BANK LEVY ☐ Levy is for any and all accounts of the Judg ☐ Including but not limited to account(s) | | | | |
| Levy is to be limited to account(s): | | | | |
| ☐ THIRD PARTY LEVY ☐ Levy is for all funds owed to the Judgment ☐ Levy is to be limited to: | Debtor(s) by the Third Par | ty. | | |
| RENT LEVY (Levy is for all rents due during the | | | | |
| PERSONAL PROPERTY LEVY (The Sheriff is | directed to Levy and sell | the debtor's perso | onal property liste | ed below) |
| Address where personal property is located (Pro | operty must be in a public place o | r a separate "Break-ıı | n" order issued by the | e court is required) |
| TILL TAP (Levy on contents of all cash recepta | cles in a going business) | | | |
| KEEPER-CASH ONLY (Levy on all cash and cash ed KEEPER-CASH AND TANGIBLE PERSONAL all tangible personal property of the going business (Requires | <u>PROPERTY</u> (Levy on all cases a minimum fee deposit of \$1, | h and cash equivalen 500 pending further | quotation) | AND seize and sei |
| Keeper is to be installed for ☐0, ☐12 ☐2 | | | r dav | v(s). |
| Note: Fee waiver does not apply to the fee for the keep Name(s) of Judgment Debtor(s) whose property is | or(s) Keeper fees MUST be s subject to this levy (include | the debtor's social s | ecurity number if kno | own/applicable) |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| SERVE LEVY UPON: | | | | |
| Address: | | | | _ |
| City: | | State: <u>CA</u> Zi | ip: | - |
| Special Instructions: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| The Sheriff's Department is entitled to its fees All communications, refunds and co | s Department DOES NOT guara whether the service is complet collections will be made to t | ed or not. (Californi | a Government Codo dress listed below | e 26738) V∶ |
| Name of attorney (or party without attorney) requesting service | e · | | | |
| Address | Cit | y: | State: | Zip: |
| Telephone Number: () | Fax Number | r: () | | |
| Email Address | | | | |
| gnature | Date | | | |

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 61 of 82

| This form asks the court to waive additional court fees that are not covered in a current order. If you have not already received an order that waived or reduced your court fees, you must complete and file a Request to Waive Court Fees (Superior Court), form FW-001, along with this form. 1 Your Information (person asking the court to waive the fees): Name: | Clerk stamps date here when form is filed. | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Street or mailing address: PO BOX 2000 City: Vacav Va State: Cc Zip: 95696 Phone number: 2 Your lawyer, if you have one (name, firm or affiliation, address, phone number, and State Bar number): | Fill in court name and street address: Superior Court of California, County of Solano Gld Solano Coorthoose 580 Texas St San Field Cu 94533 | | |
| a. The lawyer has agreed to advance all or a portion of your fees or costs (check one): Yes No b. (If yes, your lawyer must sign here): Lawyer's signature: If your lawyer is not providing legal-aid type services based on your low in hearing to explain why you are asking the court to waive the fees. 3 Date your last court fee waiver order, if any, was granted: | Fill in case number and case name: Case Number: Case Name: Dungnage V GMC, lobel, Vi Ring et al. income, you may have to go to a | | |
| Has your financial situation improved since your last Request to Waive Court Fees? \(\sum \) No \(\sum \) Yes (If yes, you must fill out a new Request to Waive Court Fees, form FW-001, and attach it to this form.) What other fees do you want your court fee waiver order to cover? (Check all that apply): a. \(\sum \) Jury fees and expenses b. \(\sum \) Court-appointed interpreter fees for a witness c. \(\sum \) Fees for a peace officer to testify in court d. \(\sum \) Reporter's daily fees (beyond 60-days after grant of a fee waiver, at court-approved daily rate) e. \(\sum \) Fees for court-appointed experts f. \(\sum \) Other (specify): \(\sum \) Courtex Why do you need these other services? (Explain): \(\sum \) Courtex Concern or \(\sum \) Sective Manufacture by | | | |
| Notice: The court may order you to answer questions about your finances and late fees. If this happens and you do not pay, the court can make you pay the fees and a is a change in your financial circumstances during this case that increases your abinotify the trial court within five days. (Use form FW-010.) If you win your case, the pay the fees. If you settle your civil case for \$10,000 or more, the trial court will amount of the waived fees. The trial court may not dismiss the case until the lien is declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the correct. Date: Date: Sign here | also charge you collection fees. If there lility to pay fees and costs, you must he trial court may order the other side lil have a lien on the settlement in the s paid. | | |

Judicial Council of California, www.courtinfo.ca.gov Revised July 1, 2009, Mandatory Form Government Code, § 68511.3 California Rules of Court, Rule 3.51 Request to Waive Additional Court Fees (Superior Court)

FW-002, Page 1 of 1

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com 09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 62 of 82

| | PLD-C-001(1) |
|--|--|
| SHORT TITLE: | CASE NUMBER: |
| Umsmoe V GMC ETAI | |
| CAUSE OF ACTION—Breach of | Contract |
| (number) ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint | |
| (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) | |
| BC-1. Plaintiff (name): Dary Dursman | |
| alleges that on or about (date): ZUU 6 - ZUU 7 a written oral other (specify): agreement was made between (name parties to agreement): | sbel, Vilcing |
| A copy of the agreement is attached as Exhibit A, or The essential terms of the agreement are stated in Att That The Vehical was safe and to | tachment BC-1 are as follows (specify): |
| BC-2. On or about (dates): 2006-2007 defendant breached the agreement by the acts specified in a (specify): by know. asly allowing for the product known to he performed beliefly ports and | plaintiff to purchase and |
| BC-3. Plaintiff has performed all obligations to defendant except those obliga excused from performing. | ations plaintiff was prevented or |
| BC-4. Plaintiff suffered damages legally (proximately) caused by defendant's as stated in Attachment BC-4 as follows (specify): Distress, Less of Fire puty, forther car | breach of the agreement Scree presund injury emotional ning, wroughol Conviction |
| BC-5. Plaintiff is entitled to attorney fees by an agreement or a statute of \$ according to proof. BC-6. Other: TRIBIT Touringes | |

Page _____

| d. L-2. Each of the defendants knew the product would be purchased and u The product was defective when it left the control of each defendar was being used in the manner intended by the defendants. used in the manner that was reasonably foreseeable by defereadily apparent. Adequate warnings of the danger were not d. L-3. Plaintiff was a | sed without inspection for defects. The product at the time of injury endants as involving a substantial danger not |
|--|--|
| CAUSE OF ACTION—Production (number) TACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint e a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) Intiff (name): Cross - Complaint plaintiff was casenably foreseeable by definition plaintiff (name): Cross - Complaint plaintiff was a Cross - Complaint plaintiff was a Cross - Complaint plaintiff was a Cross - Complaintiff was a Cross - Complaintiff (name): Cross - Complaintiff was a Cross - Complaintiff (name): Cross - Cross | sed without inspection for defects. The product at the time of injury endants as involving a substantial danger not given. |
| CAUSE OF ACTION—Productions (number) TACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint e a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) Intiff (name): Plaintiff was a cause of action form for each cause of action.) Intiff (name): Plaintiff was a cause of action. Intiff (name): Plaintiff was a cause of | sed without inspection for defects. The product at the time of injury endants as involving a substantial danger not given. |
| (number) TACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint e a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) Intiff (name): Plaintiff was d. L-1. On or about (date): Plaintiff was COUSAVANA VAN SIS d. L-2. Each of the defendants knew the product would be purchased and used in the manner intended by the defendants. Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being Interpolation was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being was defective when it left the control of each defendant was being was defendant was defendant was defendant was defective when it left the control of each defendant was defendant w | sed without inspection for defects. The product at the time of injury endants as involving a substantial danger not given. |
| e a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) intiff (name): Company plaintiff was c. L-1. On or about (date): Company plaintiff was c. | sed without inspection for defects. nt. The product at the time of injury endants as involving a substantial danger not given. |
| e a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) intiff (name): Company plaintiff was c. L-1. On or about (date): Company plaintiff was c. | sed without inspection for defects. nt. The product at the time of injury endants as involving a substantial danger not given. |
| d. L-1. On or about (date): plaintiff was 200 SAVANA VAN SS d. L-2. Each of the defendants knew the product would be purchased and used in the manner intended by the defendants. SAVANA VAN SS | sed without inspection for defects. nt. The product at the time of injury endants as involving a substantial danger not given. |
| d. L-2. Each of the defendants knew the product would be purchased and under the product was defective when it left the control of each defendation was being used in the manner intended by the defendants. Solution Show An | sed without inspection for defects. nt. The product at the time of injury endants as involving a substantial danger not given. |
| d. L-2. Each of the defendants knew the product would be purchased and under the product was defective when it left the control of each defendation was being used in the manner intended by the defendants. Solution Show An | ent. The product at the time of injury endants as involving a substantial danger not given. |
| The product was defective when it left the control of each defendate was being used in the manner intended by the defendants. used in the manner that was reasonably foreseeable by defereadily apparent. Adequate warnings of the danger were not d. L-3. Plaintiff was a | ent. The product at the time of injury endants as involving a substantial danger not given. |
| readily apparent. Adequate warnings of the danger were not d. L-3. Plaintiff was a | given. |
| d. L-3. Plaintiff was a | |
| purchaser of the product | of the product. |
| | |
| bystander to the use of the product. | r (specify): |
| a. manufactured or assembled the product (names): Does to | GMC CT AL |
| | |
| b. designed and manufactured component parts supp | illed to the manufacturer (names): GM(' |
| Does to to | Ō |
| c. sold the product to the public (names): いい | el st Ai |
| | |
| □ Does to \ 0 | C) |
| 1. L-5. Count Two—Negligence of the following defendants who | awed a duty to plaintiff (names): |
| d. L-5. Count Two—Negligence of the following defendants who | wed a duty to plaintill (harnes). V.K. x |
| Does | 2 |
| d. L-6. Count Three—Breach of warranty by the following defend | ants (names): Libel, Vilcing, |
| a. Who breached an implied warranty | • • |
| | |
| b. who breached an express warranty which was written | |
| | and the reasons for the liability are |
| I he defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reasons a | Rible Dance as Dank |

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 64 of 82

| | PLD-PI-001(1) |
|---|--|
| SHORT TITLE: | CASE NUMBER: |
| Dusmane v GMC, Lobel, Viking | |
| CAUSE OF ACTION—Motor Vel | nicle |
| (number) | |
| ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint | |
| (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) | |
| Plaintiff (name): Dury Dury MV-1. Plaintiff alleges the acts of defendants were negligent; the acts were the legal | (proximate) cause of injuries |
| and damages to plaintiff; the acts occurred on (date): つたっつ | |
| on (date): 2007 Dec 3 at (place): East 5Th St National C. | ty ca 91950 |
| | |
| MV- 2. DEFENDANTS a The defendants who operated a motor vehicle are (names): | |
| Does to | |
| b. The defendants who employed the persons who operated a motor are (names): | vehicle in the course of their employment |
| Does to c. The defendants who owned the motor vehicle which was operated | with their permission are <i>(nam</i> es): (いしょ) ぐてに |
| Does to The defendants who entrusted the motor vehicle are (names): | v. King stal |
| e. Does to | efendants and acted within the scope |
| Does to 100 The defendants who are liable to plaintiffs for other reasons and the listed in Attachment MV-2f as follows: The Augustust adult Per Penal Cadestone Defective product Resulting | Defendants Knowingly allowed |
| Does to | Page |

Page 1 of 1

Dursnare y GMC 9+ AC

__ Cursi of Nortion - Francis

Attachment to Complaint

FR-1 Plaintiff Dury Dunsmore

Alleges that Ochendant GMC, label, Uiking, ET AL On or about 2006-2007 Detraded plaintiff as fullows

FR-7 & intertional er Nogligent Misropresentation a. Deludant Made Representation of Material fact as fallows that the Valued being sold was legal, safe free from Defect or un Arthurize workmarsh.p

b. These representations were in fact false The Truth was as fallows

The Vahical was manufactured with Defective parts Stoler, and illegal with UN Authorized parts or worken ship performed on The product

C. When Ochendant Mude These representation

Delendant knew they were feder or Detreving The Detendant had No reasonable ground for believing The Representations were true

d. Deheviant Made the representations with The intent to dehrand not induce plaintiff to act as Described in Item FR-5 At The time plaintiff acted plaintiff did not know The Representations were false and believed They were true Plaintit acted in justifiable reliance you the truth of The Kyresockations

1 Dursmore v BMC, Word, Vileny Et Al

Cause of Action - Graves

FR3 12 Concal ment

a. Defendant Concealed or Surpress Material faste as fallows

Parts or UN Authorized workmarship

b. Ochenhant Concealed or Surpressed Material facts

Blockenhant was bound to Disclose

B by telling plaintiff other facts to Mislead

Plaint. If and prevent plaint. If from Discovering
The Concealed or Surpressed facts

C. Ochendant Concealed or surpressed These Suits with the intent to Detroud and notice plaintiff to act as Described in ITAM FR-5 At The true Plaintiff acted plaintiff was unaware of the Concealed or surpressed facts and would not have taken the action if plaintiff had known the facts

Number Cause of Action - Fraud

FRY B Promise without intent to Perform

A. Delendant Mude a promise about a Metherical Mether

with out any intention of Performing it FRY(a) as fallows

that the Vehical was safe from Defect, Part or

Pear workmaship and legal

b. Defendants promise without my inhertion of Performagined was much with the notat to defraval and include plaintiff to rely upon it and to act upon it as Described in Item FK-5 At the time plaintiff extend plaintiff was unaware of Defendants intultion not to Perform the promise Plaintiff acted in Justificiable reliance upon the Promise

FR-5 Ed the Jusifiable reliance you odudants conduct Plaintiff was induced to act as fallows

To operate en unsufe Vehicel That was stolen with Delective parts and in Authorized workmarship

FR-6 A Bécause the plaint. If reliance upon bedendant conduct Plaint. If has been timeged as fullows wrong ful Conviction, lost Property, Emotional Distress Personal injury, Lost Soture Earnings

| 09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 SHORT TITLE: Pg 68 | Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document 001(2) |
|--|---|
| DUNSMOR V GMC ST | A (|
| (number) CAUSE OF ACT | ION—General Negligence Page |
| ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Com | nplaint |
| (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action | on.) |
| GN-1. Plaintiff (name): Dary () | · O; · · (|
| alleges that defendant (name): | LOWEL, U. King |
| | |
| Does to | 70 |
| was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plair negligently caused the damage to plaintiff | ntiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant |

on (date): 2 ∘ 0 7

at (place): Viking resource Lobel financial

(description of reasons for liability):

the Ochadants willfully or negligibly sold und nouved a Motor Vehical to the plaintiff that they should have known was manifectured with Ochective Parets by Defendant GMC or that a Dupicate title existed and that The Vehical was possibly Stolen and un-Authorized workmonskip or Parts were nustreed and failed Report such issues to Authorities placing Plaintilf at Risk of injury and Death or other Linkslities That resulted on occ 3 2007 in The form of on accident and wrongful Conviction and That the accident and wrongful Conviction and That the Defendant adult Defendant Caused person injury To a Dependent adult with in the meaning of Penal Code 368 and Continue. To Cover these facts and Cause Plaintiff To be held incorrected and wrongfully convicted

Pg 69 of 82 PLD-PI-001(3) CASE NUMBER SHORT TITLE: DUNSMOR U GMC ET AL CAUSE OF ACTION—Intentional Tort Page ATTACHMENT TO Complaint Cross - Complaint (Use a separate cause of action form for each cause of action.) IT-1. Plaintiff (name): Darry Dunsmore alleges that defendant (name): GMC, Lobel, V.King CT Al

Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46

Main Document

Filed 10/19/15

Does to

was the legal (proximate) cause of damages to plaintiff. By the following acts or omissions to act, defendant intentionally caused the damage to plaintiff

Dec 3 2007 on (date): at (place): East STI ST

Doc 13504

(description of reasons for liability):

The Defendants willfully Manufactured or Sold knowingly Defective Vehicals Manufactured with Ocfective Parts The Defindants knew of should have known the Vehical had Defective Parts when Sold To plaintiff because a Duplicate title existed the Octendents further sold and INSURCO The Vehical knowing it was stolen and possible Délective workmuship or Ports were involved upon such knowledg Willfully Sought to Cover up such information and Sailed to contact authorities Resulting in Plaintiffs injuries and wrongful Conviction

| 09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10 | 19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 70 of 82 PLD-PI-001(6) |
|--|--|
| | . CASE NUMBER. |
| SHORT TITLE: DUSMOR V GMC | CT. 11 |
| Exemplary | amages Attachment Page |
| ATTACHMENT TO Complaint C | ss - Complaint |
| EX-1. As additional damages against defendant (n | ne): lobel, U. King Ct al |
| Plaintiff alleges defendant was guilty of | |
| | |
| malice fraud | |
| | intiff should recover, in addition to actual damages, damages nt. |

EX-2. The facts supporting plaintiff's claim are as follows: The Defacts Knew or Should have known that The Vehical was Defective and Munufactued with Oefective parts and that a Duplicate Title existed and or that the Vehical May have Stolen Echective parts, Michanical Problems or other Defects and That Defendants willfully and fraudulantly covered up thuse facts or failed to Disclose Resulting in persondinjury, loss of Property, incapication, wrongful Conviction and That The Defendant knew The plaintill was a Dependent adult that they have oppressed The plaint. If by with holding expelpetory Evidence to oppress The plantiff and keep him incurrenated and wrongly Conviced

EX-3. The amount of exemplary damages sought is

a. ____ not shown, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 425.10.

TREBIE Duraye

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pa 71 of 82 AD6237 G-1-14 JUL 1 5 2015 PO BOX 2000 Clerk of the Superior Court Vacaville Ca 95696 Pro Se IN THE SUPERIOR COURT STATE OF CALLFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLAND case 705045638 Darry 1 D'unsmare Plaintiff GMC, LOBEL VIKING ETALL Defendants Attachments in support of UNCIMITED CIVIL Action Attachment (A) Viking usurance policy Altachnut (b) Western General insurance Policy - ---- 1 Page

Attachment (C) Correspondance with insurance - -

1082

| 09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 72 of 82 |
|--|
| correspondence label financial 1 Page |
| Attachment (C) Correspondence General Mostors Corp 1 Page |
| Attachnet (S) |
| Claim estimate 35 Pages |
| Attachnuf (9) |
| Value slage nurlet réport 16 pages |
| table of Contacts |
| ewil complaint - 14 Pages |
| TRO Motion |
| order to Shou Cause 7 Page Letter to Clerk. For Metron heaving Date 1 Page |
| For Metron heaving Date Page |
| Declaration in support of TRO 1 Page |
| |
| |
| 6/12/15 |
| 5/28/15 010- |

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 73 of 82

Serv. Ce Request #71-1475390686

RS! Correspondence May 14 2015

Darry Dursmore 106237

G-2-224 Po Box 2000

Vacav. Ne Ca 95696

Because of the Failure of My GMC Velical

I an inable to Correspond by telephone as I

have been wrongly Govicted behind The Mechanical

have of My Velical and an in prison Seeking

Exculpatory evidence supporting the Defective

Parts that My Velical was Manifectured with

I have been forced to file Civil litigation

and request that This correspondence be forwarded

to your legal Dept for processing of Discovery

and interographics

5/29/15

09-50026-mg Doc 13504_ Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document \

| SUPERIO COURT OF CALIFORN | IA, COUNTY OF 5 T DIEGO |
|--|--|
| DATE 5-9-08 AT 9:00 A M. HON. THEOL | DORE M. WEATHERS JUDGE DEPT. 41 |
| MH# 102411 CASE # CS218128 CS215653 N | 1042269 |
| PROS. # BBA96501 BBA01401 MISD | |
| OLLIN, OLONIO, ON INCIDENT | RITA OTERO CSR# 3313 ER'S ADDRESS: PO BOX 120128, SAN DIEGO, CA 92112-0128 |
| IN THE MATTER OF | DAVID WILLIAMS, DDA & K. WESTFALL, DCA |
| DARRYL DUNSMORE | DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL/DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY |
| AKA: DARRYL LEE DUNSMORE | SELENA EPLEY - PUB DEF |
| ANA. DANTIL LLL DONOMONIO | ATTORNEY (APPOINTED/RETAINED) |
| INTERPRETER SWORN/CE | ERT LANGUAGE |
| TYPE OF PROCEEDING | |
| ☑ DETERMINATION OF MENTAL COMPETENCY (PC1368/1372) | DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLED PETITION (WI 6500) |
| OTHER | ☐ MOTION TO REMOVE FIREARM PROHIBITION (WI 8103) |
| ☐ OFF CALENDAR ☐ CONTINUED for ☐ further investigation ☐ | forensic exam Dr.'s testimony |
| Defendant's presence waived based on Counsel/Medical representat | ions. |
| ☐ Jury trial requested for PC 1368 proceeding. ☐ Counsel stipulate: report received into evidence; Dr. ☐ DAVID NAIMA | IRK is qualified; and doctor's presence waived. |
| □ Dr(s). | sworn and examined. |
| AFTER HEARING PURSUANT TO PC 1368/1372/1385: Court finds defendant IS mentally competent to stand trial; orders that | at criminal proceedings are reinstated; remands Defendant to |
| Comparing Court and Euters Dates holow | |
| CUSTODY STATUS: Defendant REMANDED to custody of Sheriff. Co | ourt reinstates ball in amount of U \$ NO BAIL. Case# Ball \$ |
| ☐ Trailing Cases: Case# Bail \$ Court finds defendant IS NOT mentally competent to stand trial; order | |
| | PSUOther |
| For: Maximum term of 3 Mos Myrs. Credit Time Served | <u>56</u> days. ☐ Remainder of previously imposed term. |
| Maximum sentence for most serious charge is 4 \(\text{\subset} \) months \(\text{!} | 🛛 years. 🔯 Clerk to notify Registrar of Voters. |
| □ Defendant consents to the administration of antipsychotic med ☑ Court <u>authorizes</u> the treatment facility (to include San Diego Company) | ication. |
| | e defendant when and as prescribed by the defendant's |
| treating psychiatrist (See Commitment Order) | |
| Court does not authorize the treatment facility to administer an Court orders criminal charges dismissed pursuant to PC 1370.2. Defe | tipsychotic medication to the defendant involuntarily. |
| Li Coun orders chiminal charges dishlissed pursuant to 10 1010.2. Doc | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| AFTER HEARING PURSUANT TO WI 6500: | |
| Court finds RESPONDENT has been a resident of the State of California danger to self or others. Petition GRANTED. Court orders RESPONDENT has been a resident of the State of California danger to self or others. | omia for more than one year; is mentally retarded; and is a NDENT placed, for a period of no longer than one year; |
| danger to self or others. Petition GRANTED. Coult orders RESPORT with the STATE DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENTAL SERV | /ICES in a BOARD AND CARE FACILITY |
| TI ODEN TREATMENT EACH ITY | I in INDEPENDENT LIVING |
| which the court finds to be the least restrictive type of residential p which is proper and adequate for the protection and safety of othe | placement necessary to achieve the purposes of treatment; and |
| Court finds RESPONDENT IS NOT within the scope of WI 6500, et s | eg. Petition DENIED. |
| AFTER HEARING PURSUANT TO WI 8103: Motion DENIED. Mot | |
| ⊠OTHER: Court orders deft. to be seen by appropriate dr's. in jail | |
| 05-01-08. SDSO specifically ordered to address any and all orthotic | needs. The Court suspends crim. procdings on M042269. |
| | |
| FUTURE DATES: | |
| Continued for reasons noted above to at in Dept. Forensic examination in Room 1003 on at | · |
| SHERIFF ORDERED TO PRODUCE DEFENDANT | r II |
| Coools) set in Control North County Fast County | South County Division for: |
| Readiness on at in Dept Further Proceedings on at in Dept | Preliminary Exam on at in Dept |
| ☐ OTHER: | |
| CIL | ERK. by g. carrillo , Deputy Clerk |
| | |
| Distribution by: 5 gac | TOD CUIRI |

| SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention. |
|--|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Print Name: Darry Dunshor Bkg #: 9701989 Housing Unit: (DOB: 9/12/67 |
| Medical Services GBDF EMDF VDF I am requesting Mental Health Services SDCJ LCDF Other Dental Services DDF SBDF |
| Reason for request for health services: Another Bridge Droke on other side |
| I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary. |
| I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee. |
| Signed: Date: Date: |
| SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION |
| (This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only) Charge: Yes No |
| Authorized signatureID#Date:Charge posted byDate |
| If no charge, explain: Amount collected: [] \$3.00 []\$ |
| |
| SECTION 3 Date Request Received: Date Sean 3 1 2009 |
| 1. SEEN IN HUR Chart reviewed 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. |
| "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: |
| "O"bjective: 1011 at Schilled to Sto |
| "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: |
| "P"lan: |
| PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO: |
| SIGNATURE: ID# |
| |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDF |
| MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION SICK CALL REQUEST Patient's Name: |
| D.O.B: |
| |
| Form J212 Rev 11/01 Booking Number Date (MM-DD-YY) |

| CECTION 1. Complete delt information to the state of the |
|---|
| SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention. |
| Print Name: |
| Medical Services GBDF EMDF VDF I am requesting Mental Health Services SDCJ LCDF Other Dental Services DDF SBDF |
| Reason for request for health services: IN Pain Need Tosth Removed |
| I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary. |
| I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee. |
| Signed: |
| SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION |
| (This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only) Charge: Yes No |
| Authorized signature ID# Date: Charge posted by Date |
| If no charge, explain: Amount collected: \$\infty\$ \$3.00 \$\infty\$\$ |
| |
| SECTION 3 Date Request Received: Date Seen: |
| 1. SEEN IN HUR Chart reviewed 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. |
| "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The pain meds use are on should over your dental pain |
| "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: The pain meds you are on should owner your dental pain "O"bjective: until seen by medical dental. |
| "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: RNL 895 |
| "P"lan: Rx GIVEN as per SNP |
| PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO: |
| SIGNATURE:ID# |
| |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDF |
| MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION SICK CALL REQUEST Patient's Name: |
| D.O.B: |
| |
| Form J212 Rev 11/01 Booking Number Date (MM-DD-YY) |

| ERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO DUNTY COURTHOUSE, 226 W. BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 9216 DE COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92081 AS JOUNTY DIVISION, 250 E. MAIN ST., EL CAJON, CA 92020-39 DUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 91910 | 041 | FOR COURT USE ONLY F I L E D MICHAEL M. RODDY Clerk of the Superior Court January 26, 2009 |
|---|------------|--|
| PLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS. | PLAINTIFF. | By, Deputy |
| RYL DUNSMORE 1: DARRYL LEE DUNSMORE | DEFENDANT | MH MH 102411 |
| ORDER FINDING DEFENDANT MENTALLY COMP (PC 1370) | PETENT | CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER MO 422 ACY CS 218128 01; CS 215653 01 BBA96501; BBA01401 |
| ne defendant having been charged with the crime(s) of Charge 22 [PC12022.1(b)]; PC273.6(a) {PC667(a)(1)}; PC245(a)(1) [PC37(a)(1),668; PC667(b)-(i)/1170.12}; PC273.6(a); PC422; PC607(a)(1),668; PC667(b)-(i)/1170.12}; PC273.6(a); PC422; PC607(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(a)(| | |

toubt having arisen as to the defendant's mental competency during the pendency of the proceedings, and the adant having been certified to the Superior Court for a determination of the question; the matter having come before the t this date, therefore,

After examination and hearing, consideration of testimony and written reports of the examiners, the Court finds the ndant mentally competent.

DERED that the defendant be remanded to the San Diego Superior Court, South County Division, the court in which ina charges are pending.

ring type READINESS CONFERENCE on 1-29-09 at 8:30AM, in Department 16.

itional Hearing: Hearing type PRELIMINARY HEARING on 2-4-09 at 8:30AM. in Department 16.

3 FURTHER ORDERED that the Sheriff of San Diego County deliver the defendant to said hearings.

le: January 26, 2009

HONORABLE FREDERICK

Judge of the Superior Court

EPLEY, SELENA

rill (2)

CLERK'S CERTIFICATE

The foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in this office.

> MICHAEL M. RODDY CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

JUDGMENT OF MENTAL INCOMPETENCY AND ORDER FOR COMMITMENT Page 50 = 61

UPCT MH-23(Rev. 3-06)

| SECTION 1 Complete this information to request medical attention. |
|---|
| Print Name: Darry Donsmore Bkg #: 9701989 Housing Unit: 60 DOB: 9/1267 |
| Medical Services GBDF EMDF VDF I am requesting Mental Health Services SDCJ LCDF Other |
| Reason for request for health services: For Orale with Toom Can be |
| I authorize and request the San Diego County Sheriff's Medical and Mental Health Services, its physicians, psychiatrists, dentists contracted agents, and medical personnel to administer and perform any and all medical and dental examinations, treatments, and diagnostic procedures deemed advisable or necessary. |
| I understand that the Sheriff's Department shall charge me \$3.00 for any routine sick call visit that I initiate and that this charge will be deducted from my account during the current or future stays in jail. I also understand that I shall not be denied medical care if I am unable to pay the \$3.00 fee. |
| Signed:Date: |
| SECTION 2 FOLLOW-UP INFORMATION |
| (This section is to be completed by Medical Staff Only) Charge: Yes No |
| Authorized signatureID#Date:Charge posted byDate |
| If no charge, explain: Amount collected: \$\[\] \$3.00 \[\]\$ |
| SECTION 3 Date Request Received: Date Seen: |
| 1. SEEN IN HUR Chart reviewed 2. NOT SEEN DUE TO: Court Visit Released No Show/Refused Other Rescheduled 3. Non-medical problem referred to: 4. Seen previously for same non-medical request, (tennis shoes, blankets, etc.). No further evaluation. 5. NO FURTHER COMPLAINTS. Patient advised to submit another Sick Call Request Slip if problem recurs. "S"ubjective Chief Complaint: |
| "O"bjective: |
| "A"ssessment Nursing Diagnosis: |
| "P"lan: |
| PATIENT EDUCATION AND ADDITIONAL INFO: |
| SIGNATURE: ID#_3575 |
| |
| SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT MEDICAL SERVICES DIVISION SICK CALL REQUEST DDF GBDF/EMDF LCDF SBDF SDCJ VDF Patient's Name: |
| Form J212 Rev 11/01 Double (MM-DD-YY) |

09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Pg 80 of 82

Pg 80 of 82 SAN DIEGO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT C- U DETENTION FACILITIES

| INMATE REQUEST (PETICION DEL REO) |
|--|
| SECTION I Complete the following information: (Llene la siguiente información) Facility: |
| SECTION II Refer to instructions on the back of this form. Select one of the following: Refierase a las instrucciones al revez de esta forma. Seleccione uno de los siguientes. |
| I have a Request for the following: (Tengo una Petición a lo siguiente): |
| Need Address To where Bheriff Kullender, Bill Gore Recieve Mail Thank You |
| Signature: Date and Time: 7/23/09 3 PM (Fecha y hora) |
| SECTION III RESPONSE BY DETENTION FACILITY STAFF ONLY |
| Forwarded to: Date: Time: |
| 9621 Pridgehaven CT. San Digo CA 92123-2222 |
| |
| |
| Completed by: Date: |

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF THIS FORM

1. REQUESTS

You may use this form when you have a request that has not been satisfied by speaking with the housing deputy.

INSTRUCCIONES PARA EL USO DE ESTA FORMA

1. PETICIONES

Usted puede usar esta forma cuando tenga algún próblema o petición que no fué resuelta por el Deputy de Piso.

JOBS PAGO

JIMS - E000008 09-50026-mg Doc 13504 Filed 10/19/15 our Entered 10/19/15 09:54:46 Main Document Page: 1 of 2

Detention Services 2 bf 22 bf 22 riff Facilities

Inmate Grievance Report Grievance # 94002062

Run Date: 22-DEC-2009 Run Time: 07:42

Grievance Num: 94002062 Grievance Dt: 18-DEC-09 Booknum: 9701989 DUNSMORE, DARRYL

Subject1: OTHR

Subject2:

Subject3:

Subject4:

Fac: 1 Area: 7 Hu: B Location: SDCJ 7B

Summary: Inmate grievance is complaining about the time he is released from disciplinary isolation/lockdown status. Inmate believes he should be released at 0230 hours, instead of the hearing report time of 2300 on 12-18-09

#94024402

Action Dt: 18-DEC-09 Action Ofcr Name: LATIMER

Action Taken: I asked Sgt Storton to print up the hearing report from Sgt. Zucker. I gave the inmate the hearing report and explained the situation to the inmate.

Narrative Text:

N/A